arXiv:0910.4147v2 [math.RT] 19 Nov 2009

STUDY OF ANTIORBITAL COMPLEXES

G. Lusztig

To Gregg Zuckerman on his 60th birthday

Introduction

0.1. Let U be an N-dimensional vector space over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$ and let U^* be the dual vector space. Let $\kappa : U \times U^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}_q$ be the canonical pairing. We fix a prime number l such that $l \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{F}_q$ and a nontrivial character $\psi : \mathbf{F}_q \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$. (We denote by $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ an algebraic closure of the field of l-adic numbers.) If $f : U \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is a function, the Fourier transform $\hat{f} : U^* \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is defined by

$$\hat{f}(y) = q^{-N/2} \sum_{x \in U} \psi(\kappa(x,y)) f(x).$$

0.2. Assume that q is odd, N is even ≥ 4 and that U is endowed with a split nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(,) : U \times U \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}_q$. This allows us to identify $U^* = U$. Let $SO(U)$ be the special orthogonal group of $(,)$. The following is the prototype of a problem that we are interested in.

(a) *Describe the space of all functions $f : U \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ which are constant on each orbit of $SO(U)$ such that both f and $\hat{f}$ vanish on the complement of $\{x \in U; (x,x) = 0\}$.*

It turns out that the space (a) consists of all scalar multiples of a single function namely:

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ if } (x,x) \neq 0, \quad f(x) = 1 \text{ if } (x,x) = 0, x \neq 0,$$
$$f(x) = 1 + q^{(N-2)/2} \text{ if } x = 0.$$

The proof is an easy exercise (the fact that $\hat{f} = f$ is shown in [L4,§11]; see also the last paragraph of 3.5).

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_q$. The function f can be interpreted as the characteristic function of the intersection cohomology complex of the quadric $(x,x) = 0$ in $\mathbf{k} \otimes U$. This quadric is singular at 0 and this accounts for the term $q^{(N-2)/2}$ in the formula for $f(0)$.

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

0.3. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbf{k}$ with a given semisimple automorphism $\vartheta : G \longrightarrow G$ with fixed point set G^ϑ. Let K be the identity component of G^ϑ. We assume that the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ is sufficiently large. Now ϑ induces a (semisimple) automorphism of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G. For any $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}^*$ let $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ be the ζ-eigenspace of this automorphism. Note that K acts on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ by the adjoint action. Let $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$ be the variety of elements in $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ which are nilpotent in $\mathfrak{g}$. We assume that we are given an $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure on G such that $\vartheta : G \longrightarrow G$ is defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$, such that all eigenvalues of $\vartheta : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ are in $\mathbf{F}_q^*$ and such that there exists a Borel subgroup of G defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$ which is ϑ-stable. Then K, $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ (for any ζ) inherit an $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure. Let $\kappa : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be a fixed G-invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form; we assume that it is also ϑ-invariant and defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$. It induces a nondegenerate bilinear pairing $\kappa_\zeta : \mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}$ (for any ζ) which is again defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$. This allows us to identify $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ with the dual space of $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ (compatibly with the $\mathbf{F}_q$-structures and K-actions). We fix $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}^*$. The following is a generalization of the problem 0.2(a).

(a) *Describe the space of all functions $f : \mathfrak{g}_\zeta(\mathbf{F}_q) \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ (which are constant on each orbit of $K(\mathbf{F}_q)$) such that f vanishes on any non-nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta(\mathbf{F}_q)$ and $\hat{f}$ vanishes on any non-nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. In particular, when is this space nonzero?*
(The example in 0.2 arises in the case where $G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{k})$, $K = SO_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$, $\zeta = -1$.)

In the case where $\zeta = 1$ the solution of (a) can be found in [L1]. It turns out that in this case functions as in (a) exist very rarely and they are related to "cuspidal character sheaves". For example if $G = Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$, $\vartheta = 1, \zeta = 1$, there is up to scalar at most one nonzero f as in (a); it exists if and only if $n = i(i+1)/2$ for some $i \in \mathbf{N}$. On the other hand, when ϑ is an inner automorphism and $\zeta \neq 1$, we will show (see 3.4(a)) that the space (a) is always nonzero.

0.4. Assume now that in 0.3 we take $G = GL(V)$ where V is a finite dimensional $\mathbf{k}$-vector space with a fixed $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure and a fixed grading $V = \oplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/m} V_i$ such that each V_i is defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Here m is a fixed integer ≥ 2 such that $m \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{k}$. Let $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ be such that $a^m = 1$ and $1, a, \dots, a^{m-1}$ are distinct. Define $g_0 \in G$ by $g_0(v) = a^i v$ for $v \in V_i, i \in \mathbf{Z}/m$. Define $\vartheta : G \longrightarrow G$ as conjugation by g_0. Then $\mathfrak{g}_a$ can be viewed as the space of representations of a cyclic quiver with m vertices of fixed multidegree. In this case we will describe completely the space 0.3(a) by exhibiting an explicit basis for it. (See 2.14(a)). This basis is closely connected with the theory of canonical bases [L2] applied to affine SL_m.

0.5. As suggested by the example in 0.2, it is useful to study the problem 0.3(a) by passing to $\mathbf{k}$ and using geometric methods, namely using perverse sheaves instead of functions and using Deligne-Fourier (D-F) transform (see 0.7) instead of Fourier transform.

Let E be a finite dimensional $\mathbf{k}$-vector space and let $\check{E}$ be its dual space. Let K be a connected linear algebraic group with a given homomorphism of algebraic groups $K \longrightarrow GL(E)$. Then K acts on E and (by duality) on $\check{E}$. A complex (of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-sheaves) on E is said to be *orbital* if it is a simple K-equivariant perverse sheaf on E supported by the closure of a single K-orbit. A complex (of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-sheaves) on $\check{E}$ is said to be *antiorbital* if it is isomorphic to the D-F transform of an orbital complex on E. (Then it is a simple K-equivariant perverse sheaf on $\check{E}$.) A complex (of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-sheaves) on E is said to be *biorbital* if it is orbital for the K-action on E and its D-F transform is orbital for the K-action on $\check{E}$.

0.6. Assume now that $E = \mathfrak{g}_\zeta, K$ are as in 0.3, ($\zeta \in \mathbf{k}^*$). Some general results on K-orbits on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ can be deduced from Vinberg's work [V]. In the case where $\zeta = 1$ an explicit description of the antiorbital complexes on $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ is given in [L1].

In the case where $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ arises as in 0.4 from a cyclic quiver an explicit description of the antiorbital complexes on $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ is given in Theorem 2.6. By "explicit" we mean that for each antiorbital complex we describe its support and the associated local system on an open dense smooth part of the support.

We also describe explicitly the collection of antiorbital complexes on $\check{E}$ in a case where E, K does not come from the setup in 0.3 (see 3.9). In this example, E is the coadjoint representation of a unipotent group K and there are infinitely many subvarieties of the adjoint representation $\check{E}$ which appear as supports of antiorbital complexes.

Assume again that $E = \mathfrak{g}_\zeta, K, \vartheta$ are as in 0.3 with $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}^*$, so that $\check{E} = \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$. Let $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ be the collection of simple K-equivariant perverse sheaves $\mathcal{K}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ such that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{K}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$ and $\text{supp}(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}^{nil}$. Note that $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ contains only finitely many objects up to isomorphism. The following is a geometric analogue of problem 0.3(a).

(a) *Describe explicitly the objects of $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ (by describing the corresponding nilpotent K-orbits and associated local systems). In particular when is $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ nonempty?* In the case where $\zeta = 1$ the solution of this problem can be found in [L1]. It turns out that in this case $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ is almost always empty. For example if $G = Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$, $\vartheta = 1, \zeta = 1$, there is up to isomorphism at most one object of $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$; it exists if and only if $n = i(i+1)/2$ for some $i \in \mathbf{N}$. At the other extreme, in the case where ζ has large order in $\mathbf{k}^*$ (say $> \dim \mathfrak{g}$), $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ consist of nilpotent elements and $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ consists of all orbital complexes on $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ (they are automatically antiorbital). If we assume only that $\zeta \neq 1$ (and that ϑ is an inner automorphism), we can show that $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta \neq \emptyset$. (See 3.3.) In the case which arises as in 0.4 from a cyclic quiver, we determine $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ explicitly. (See 2.13). In this case $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ is exactly the collection of simple perverse sheaves on E defined by the theory of canonical bases for affine SL_m. (This gives a new characterization of that canonical basis.) In any case, the set (of isomorphism classes in) $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ can be viewed as a basis of a finite dimensional $\mathbf{Q}(v)$-vector space $\mathbf{V}_\zeta$ and we have the operations of "induction" and "restriction" (see the Appendix) relating $\mathbf{V}_\zeta$ and the analogous vector space for a ϑ-stable Levi subgroup analogous to multiplication and comultiplication in a quantum group; we also have canonically $\mathbf{V}_\zeta = \mathbf{V}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ (compatibly with the bases) and this agrees with the operations of induction and restriction. Thus, $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ behaves in many respects like a canonical basis. (This point of view has been already emphasized in [L5] which corresponds to the case where ζ has large order in $\mathbf{k}^*$.)

0.7. Notation. If X is an algebraic variety let $\mathcal{D}(X)$ be the bounded derived category of constructible $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-sheaves on X The objects of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ are said to be complexes. If $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ we write $\mathcal{K}[?]$ instead of "$\mathcal{K}$ with some shift". We say "local system" instead of "$\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-local system". If $\mathcal{L}$ is a local system on X and $x \in X$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}_x$ the stalk of $\mathcal{L}$ at x. If Y is a locally closed smooth irreducible subvariety of X and $\mathcal{L}$ is a local system on Y, then the intersection cohomology complex $IC(\bar{Y}, \mathcal{L})$ on the closure $\bar{Y}$ of Y is well defined. Extending this by 0 on $X - \bar{Y}$ we obtain a complex $IC(\bar{Y}, \mathcal{L})_X$ in $\mathcal{D}(X)$. Now ψ (see 0.1) defines an Artin-Schreier local system of rank 1 on $\mathbf{k}$; its inverse image under any morphism $\phi : X \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is a local system $\mathcal{L}^\phi$ of rank 1 on X.

Let $E, \check{E}$ be as in 0.5. Let $\langle , \rangle : E \times \check{E} \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be the obvious pairing. Let $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{D}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\check{E})$ be the Deligne-Fourier (D-F) transform

$$\mathcal{K} \mapsto \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}) = \delta'_!(\delta^*(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\langle , \rangle})[\dim E];$$

here $\delta : E \times \check{E} \longrightarrow E$, $\delta' : E \times \check{E} \longrightarrow \check{E}$ are the two projections.

For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\mathfrak{S}_n$ denotes the symmetric group in n letters.

CONTENTS

1. Cyclic quivers and orbital complexes.
2. Cyclic quivers and antiorbital complexes.
3. Further examples of antiorbital complexes.
Appendix. Induction, restriction.

1. CYCLIC QUIVERS AND ORBITAL COMPLEXES

1.1. In this and the next section we fix an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $m \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{k}$. We set $I = \mathbf{Z}/m$. We fix $\epsilon = \pm 1$ in I.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category of finite dimensional $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces V with a given I-grading that is, a direct sum decomposition $V = \oplus_{i \in I} V_i$ indexed by I (the morphisms are linear maps compatible with the grading). For $V \in \mathcal{C}$ we define $|V| = (|V|_i) \in \mathbf{N}^I$ by $|V|_i = \dim(V_i)$. Define $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$ by $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{k}$ for all $i \in I$. For $V \in \mathcal{C}$ we set

$$E^\epsilon_V = \{T \in \mathrm{End}(V); TV_i \subset V_{i+\epsilon} \quad \forall i\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$ be the category whose objects are the pairs (V, T) where $V \in \mathcal{C}$ and $T \in E^\epsilon_V$ (the morphisms are linear maps compatible with the grading and which commute with the given endomorphisms). For $V \in \mathcal{C}$ let

$$G_V = \{A \in GL(V), AV_i = V_i \quad \forall i \in I\},$$

a closed subgroup of $GL(V)$ isomorphic to $\prod_{i\in I} GL(V_i)$. Note that G_V acts naturally by conjugation on E_V^ϵ. Clearly the G_V-orbits on E_V^ϵ are in natural bijection with the isomorphism classes of objects $(V',T)\in\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$ such that $V'\cong V$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

For any $T\in E_V^\epsilon$ and any $\lambda\in\mathbf{k}$ let $V_{T,\lambda}$ be the generalized λ-eigenspace of $T^m : V\rightarrow V$. Note that $V_{T,\lambda}$ is compatible with the grading of V hence is itself an object of $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover $V_{T,\lambda}$ is T-stable. We denote the restriction of T to $V_{T,\lambda}$ by ${}^\lambda T$. We have $(V_{T,\lambda},{}^\lambda T)\in\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$ and $(V,T)=\oplus_{\lambda\in\mathbf{k}}(V_{T,\lambda},{}^\lambda T)$ in $\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$. We set

$$\mathcal{S}_T=\{\lambda\in\mathbf{k}^*;V_{T,\lambda}\neq 0\}.$$

For any $\lambda\in\mathbf{k}$ let $E_V^{\epsilon,\lambda}=\{T\in E_V^\epsilon;V_{T,\lambda}=V\}$ (a closed G_V-stable subset of E_V^ϵ). We say that $E_V^{\epsilon,0}$ is the *nilpotent variety* in E_V^ϵ.

If $V\cong\mathbf{v}$ we set $E_V^{-\epsilon,*}=E_V^{-\epsilon}-E_V^{-\epsilon,0}=\cup_{\lambda\in\mathbf{k}^*}E_V^{-\epsilon,\lambda}$ (an open dense subset of $E_V^{-\epsilon}$); for $\lambda\in\mathbf{k}^*$ we define $\alpha_\lambda : E_V^{-\epsilon,*}\rightarrow\mathbf{k}^*$ by $\alpha_\lambda(T)=\lambda\lambda'$ where $T\in E_V^{-\epsilon,\lambda'}$.

We describe some objects of $\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$.

Let $\mathcal{A}=\{(a,b)\in\mathbf{Z}\times\mathbf{Z};a\leq b\}$. Now $m\mathbf{Z}$ acts freely on $\mathcal{A}$ by $c:(a,b)\mapsto(a+c,b+c)$; let $\mathcal{A}_m$ be the space of orbits. We write $\overline{a,b}$ for the orbit of $(a,b)\in\mathcal{A}$.

Let $(a,b)\in\mathcal{A}$. Let $V=V_{a,b}$ be the $\mathbf{k}$-vector space with basis $e_a,e_{a+1},\dots,e_b$ viewed as an object of $\mathcal{C}$ in which $e_j\in V_j$ for all $j=a,a+1,\dots,b$. (The index j in V_j is viewed as an integer $\mod m$.) Define $T_1\in E_V^1$ by $e_a\mapsto e_{a+1}\mapsto e_{a+2}\mapsto\dots\mapsto e_b\mapsto 0$. Define $T_{-1}\in E_V^{-1}$ by $e_b\mapsto e_{b-1}\mapsto e_{b-2}\mapsto\dots\mapsto e_a\mapsto 0$. We have $(V_{a,b},T_\epsilon)\in\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$. Moreover the isomorphism class of $(V_{a,b},T_\epsilon)$ in $\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$ depends only on the $m\mathbf{Z}$-orbit of (a,b). Hence we can use the notation (V_β,T_ϵ) for this isomorphism class where $\beta=\overline{a,b}\in\mathcal{A}_m$.

Let $\lambda\in\mathbf{k}^*$ and let $n\in\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. Choose $\lambda'\in\mathbf{k}^*$ such that $\lambda'^m=\lambda$. Let $U=U(n)$ be the $\mathbf{k}$-vector space with basis $e_{a,i}$ $(a\in[1,n],i\in I)$. For $i\in I$ let U_i be the subspace of U spanned by $e_{a,i}(a\in[1,n])$. These subspaces form an I-grading of U. Define $T_1\in\mathrm{End}(U)$ by $e_{a,i}\mapsto e_{a,i+\epsilon}$ $(a\in[1,n],i\in I)$. Define $T_2\in\mathrm{End}(U)$ by $e_{a,i}\mapsto e_{a+1,i+\epsilon}$ $(a\in[1,n-1],i\in I)$, $e_{n,i}\mapsto 0$ $(i\in I)$. We have $T_1,T_2\in E_U^\epsilon$, $T_1T_2=T_2T_1$. We have $T_1^m=1$ hence T_1 is semisimple. Clearly, T_2 is nilpotent hence $T_\epsilon(\lambda):=\lambda'T_1+T_2\in\mathrm{End}(U)$ is such that $T_\epsilon(\lambda)^m-\lambda:U\rightarrow U$ is nilpotent. We have $(U(n),T_\epsilon(\lambda))\in\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$. We show that the isomorphism class of $(U,T_\epsilon(\lambda))$ is independent of the choice of λ'. Let $\lambda''\in\mathbf{k}^*$ be such that $\lambda''^m=\lambda$. We must show that there exists $R\in G_U$ such that $R(\lambda'T_1+T_2)=(\lambda''T_1+T_2)R$. Let $z=\lambda''/\lambda'$. Define $R\in G_U$ by $e_{a,i}\mapsto z^{-a}z^{\epsilon i}e_{a,i}$ $(a\in[1,n],i\in I)$. (Here $z^{\epsilon i}$ makes sense since $z^m=1$.) We have $RT_1=zT_1$, $RT_2=T_2R$ and our claim follows.

Now (V_β,T_ϵ) and $(U(n),T_\epsilon(\lambda))$ are indecomposable objects of $\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$; it is easy to see that, conversely, any indecomposable object of $\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$ is isomorphic to (V_β,T_ϵ) (for a well defined $\beta\in\mathcal{A}_m$) or to $(U(n),T_\epsilon(\lambda))$ (for a well defined $n\geq 1$, $\lambda\in\mathbf{k}^*$).

1.2. Define $\mathbf{1}\in\mathbf{N}^I$ by $\mathbf{1}_i=1$ for all $i\in I$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of maps $\rho:\mathbf{Z}_{>0}\rightarrow\mathbf{N}$ such that $\rho(n)=0$ for all but finitely many n. For $\rho\in\mathcal{R}$ we set $\underline{\rho}=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}_{>0}}\rho(n)n\in\mathbf{N}$. For any $t\in\mathbf{N}$ let $\mathcal{R}_t=\{\rho\in\mathcal{R};\underline{\rho}=t\}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of maps $\sigma : \mathcal{A}_m \to \mathbf{N}$ such that $\sigma(\beta) = 0$ for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_m$. Let

$$\mathcal{P}^{ap} = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}; \prod_{\overline{a,b} \in \mathcal{A}_m; b-a=n} \sigma(\overline{a,b}) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

(This is a finite product.) The elements of $\mathcal{P}^{ap}$ are said to be *aperiodic*. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ we set $|\sigma| = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_m} \sigma(\beta)|V_\beta| \in \mathbf{N}^I$. For any $\nu \in \mathbf{N}^I$ we set $\mathcal{P}_\nu = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}; |\sigma| = \nu\}$. Let $\mathcal{P}^{ap}_\nu = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{ap}; |\sigma| = \nu\}$.

Let $\tilde{Z}$ be the set of all collections $\theta = (\theta_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbf{k}}$ where $\theta_\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ are such that $\theta_\lambda = 0$ for all but finitely many λ. For $\theta \in \tilde{Z}$ we set $|\theta| = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*} \underline{\theta_\lambda} \mathbf{1} + |\theta_0| \in \mathbf{N}^I$. For $\nu \in \mathbf{N}^I$ let $\tilde{Z}_\nu = \{\theta \in \tilde{Z}; |\theta| = \nu\}$.

For any $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$ let Π_ρ be the corresponding irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{\rho}}$ over $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. (In particular if $\rho(1) = N$ and $\rho(n) = 0$ for $n > 1$ then Π_ρ is the unit representation of $\mathfrak{S}_N$.) Let $N_\rho = \dim \Pi_\rho$.

1.3. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}$. From the results in 1.1 we see that the G_V-orbits on E^ϵ_V are naturally indexed by the set $\tilde{Z}_{|V|}$: the G_V-orbit $E^\epsilon_{V,\theta}$ corresponding to $\theta \in \tilde{Z}_{|V|}$ consists of all $T \in E^\epsilon_V$ such that

$$(V, T) \cong \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*, n \ge 1} (U(n), T_\epsilon(\lambda))^{\oplus \theta_\lambda(n)} \oplus \oplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_m} (V_\beta, T_\epsilon)^{\oplus \theta_0(\beta)}$$

in $\mathcal{C}^\epsilon$. For any $\theta \in \tilde{Z}_{|V|}$, the complex

(a) $$IC(\overline{E^\epsilon_{V,\theta}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^\epsilon_V}$$

is well defined. It is up (to shift) an orbital complex on E^ϵ_V with its natural G_V-action. Since the only G_V-equivariant irreducible local system on $E^\epsilon_{V,\theta}$ is $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ we see that any orbital complex on E^ϵ_V is (up to shift) of the form (a) for a well defined θ.

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{|V|}$. Define $\bar\sigma \in \tilde{Z}_{|V|}$ by $\bar\sigma_0 = \sigma$, $\bar\sigma_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Note that the G_V-orbits contained in the nilpotent variety $E^{\epsilon,0}_V$ are exactly the subsets $E^\epsilon_{V,\bar\sigma}$ for various $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{|V|}$.

1.4. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}$ be such that $V \cong \mathbf{v}^{\oplus s}$ for some $s \in \mathbf{N}$. We fix $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Let $D = \{S \in E^\epsilon_V; S^m = \lambda\}$. For $S \in D$ let $\dot{S} = \{N \in E^{\epsilon,0}_V; NS = NS\}$. Clearly, the map

$$\{(S, N); S \in D, N \in \dot{S}\} \xrightarrow{g} E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_V, \quad (S, N) \mapsto S + N$$

is a bijection. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{f \in \mathrm{End}(V_0); f \text{ nilpotent}\}$. For any $S \in D$ we define a bijection $\mathfrak{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \dot{S}$ by $\kappa \mapsto N = N_{S,\kappa}$ where $N(S^h v) = S^{h+1}\kappa(v)$ for $v \in V_0$, $h \in [0, m-1]$; we then have also $N(S^h v) = S^{h+1}\kappa(v)$ for $v \in V_0$, $h \in \mathbf{N}$. The inverse bijection is $N \mapsto \kappa$ where $\kappa(v_0) = S^{-1}N(v_0)$ for $v_0 \in V_0$. We see that we have a bijection $u : D \times \mathfrak{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_V$ given by $(S, \kappa) \mapsto S + N_{S,\kappa}$. Now let $\mathbf{S}$

be the set of all (T, f) where $f = (0 = V^0 \subset V^1 \subset V^2 \subset \ldots \subset V^s = V)$ is a sequence of subobjects of V such that $V^j/V^{j-1} \cong \mathbf{v}$ for $j \in [1, s]$ and $T \in E^\epsilon_V$ is such that $T(V^j) \subset V^j$ for $j \in [1, s]$ and the element of $E^\epsilon_{V^j/V^{j-1}}$ induced by T is in $E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_{V^j/V^{j-1}}$. This is a smooth irreducible variety. Let $\mathbf{S}_0$ be the set of all (κ, f_0) where $f_0 = (0 = \mathcal{V}^0 \subset \mathcal{V}^1 \subset \mathcal{V}^2 \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{V}^s = V_0)$ is a sequence of subspaces of V_0 such that $\dim(\mathcal{V}^j/\mathcal{V}^{j-1}) = 1$ for $j \in [1, s]$ and $\kappa \in \mathfrak{N}$ is such that $\kappa(\mathcal{V}^j) \subset \mathcal{V}^j$ for $j \in [1, s]$. We define an isomorphism $u' : D \times \mathbf{S}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{S}$ by $(S, (\kappa, f_0)) \mapsto (T, f)$ where $T = S + N_{S,\kappa}$ and $f = (V^j)$ with $V^j_h = S^h(\mathcal{V}^j)$ for $h = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{S} \arrow[d, "g_1"'] & D \times \mathbf{S}_0 \arrow[l, "u'"'] \arrow[r, "a'"] \arrow[d, "g_2"'] & \mathbf{S}_0 \arrow[d, "g_3"'] \\
E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_V & D \times \mathfrak{N} \arrow[l, "u"'] \arrow[r, "a"] & \mathfrak{N}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $g_1(T, f) = T$, $g_2(S, (\kappa, f_0)) = (S, \kappa)$, $g_3(\kappa, f_0) = \kappa$ and a, a' are the obvious projections. It is well known that $g_{3!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s} P_\rho^{\oplus N_\rho}[d]$ where P_ρ are simple mutually nonisomorphic perverse sheaves on $\mathfrak{N}$ and $d \in \mathbf{Z}$. Using the fact that the right square in the diagram above is cartesian and a is smooth with connected fibres we deduce that $g_{2!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s} P'^{\oplus N_\rho}_\rho[d']$ where P'_ρ are simple mutually nonisomorphic perverse sheaves on $S \times \mathfrak{N}$ and $d' \in \mathbf{Z}$. Using the fact that u, u' are isomorphisms we deduce that $g_{1!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s} P''^{\oplus N_\rho}_\rho[d']$ where P''_ρ are simple mutually nonisomorphic perverse sheaves on $E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_V$ and d' is as above.

1.5. Assume that $V \in \mathcal{C}$, $U^1, U^2, \ldots, U^s \in \mathcal{C}$ are such that $U^1 \oplus U^2 \oplus \ldots \oplus U^s \cong V$. We have a diagram

$$E^\epsilon_{U^1} \times E^\epsilon_{U^2} \times \ldots \times E^\epsilon_{U^s} \xleftarrow{p_1} E' \xrightarrow{p_2} E'' \xrightarrow{p_3}> E^\epsilon_V$$

with the following notation.

E'' is the set of all pairs (T, f) where f is a sequence $(0 = V^0 \subset V^1 \subset V^2 \subset \ldots \subset V^s = V)$ of subobjects of V such that $V^j/V^{j-1} \cong U^j$ for $j \in [1, s]$ and $T \in E^\epsilon_V$ is such that $TV^j \subset V^j$ for all $j \in [1, s]$. E' is the set of all triples (T, f, ϕ) where $(T, f) \in E''$ (with $f = (V^j)$) and $\phi = (\phi_j)$ is a collection of isomorphisms $\phi_j : V^j/V^{j-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} U^j$ for $j \in [1, s]$. We have $p_1(T, f, \phi) = (T_j)$ where $T_j \in E^\epsilon_{U^j}$ is obtained by transporting the element of $E^\epsilon_{V^j/V^{j-1}}$ induced by T via ϕ_j for $j \in [1, s]$. We have $p_2(T, f, \phi) = (T, f)$, $p_3(T, f) = T$. Note that p_3 is a proper morphism.

Let $\mathcal{K}_j$ be a G_{U^j}-equivariant perverse sheaf (up to shift) on $E^\epsilon_{U^j}$ ($j \in [1, s]$). Then $\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j$ is a $\prod_j G_{U^j}$-equivariant perverse sheaf (up to shift) on $\prod_j E^\epsilon_{U^j}$. Since p_1 is a smooth morphism with connected fibres, $\mathcal{K}' := p_1^*(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j)$ is a $\prod_j G_{U^j}$-equivariant perverse sheaf (up to shift) on E'. Since p_2 is a principal $\prod_j G_{U^j}$-bundle there is a well defined perverse sheaf (up to shift) $\mathcal{K}''$ on E'' such that $p_2^*\mathcal{K}'' = p_1^*\mathcal{K}'$. We set $\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j) = p_{3!}\mathcal{K}'' \in \mathcal{D}(E^\epsilon_V)$.

1.6. We preserve the setup of 1.5. We fix $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Assume that $U^j \cong \mathbf{v}$ for $j \in [1,s]$. For $j \in [1,s]$ let $\mathcal{K}_j = IC(E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_{U^j}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^\epsilon_{U^j}}$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the set of all $(T,f) \in E''$ such that the following holds: $f = (V^j)$ is such that for $j \in [1,s]$, $V^j/V^{j-1} \cong U^j$ and the element of $E^\epsilon_{V^j/V^{j-1}}$ induced by T is in $E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_{V^j/V^{j-1}}$. This is a closed smooth irreducible subset of E''. From the definitions we have $\mathcal{K}'' = IC(\mathbf{S}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E''}$. We have $p_3(\mathbf{S}) \subset E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_V$. From 1.4 we see that

$$\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j) \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s} P''^{\oplus N_\rho}_\rho [d']$$

where P''_ρ are simple mutually nonisomorphic perverse sheaves on E^ϵ_V with support on $E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_V$ and $d' \in \mathbf{Z}$. The perverse sheaves P''_ρ are G_V-equivariant. Since the number of G_V-orbits in $E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_V$ is equal to $\sharp(\mathcal{R}_s)$ we see that the P''_ρ are precisely the orbital complexes on E^ϵ_V with support contained in $E^{\epsilon,\lambda}_V$.

1.7. We preserve the setup of 1.5. Assume that $s = t+1$ where $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that $U^j \cong \mathbf{v}$ for $j \in [1,t]$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{ap}$ is such that $|U^s| = |\sigma|$. For $j \in [1,t]$ let $\mathcal{K}_j = IC(\{0\}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^\epsilon_{U^j}}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_s = IC(\overline{E^\epsilon_{U^s,\bar\sigma}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^\epsilon_{U^s}}$. Let S'' be the set of all $(T,f) \in E''$ such that the following holds: $f = (V^j)$ is such that $V^j/V^{j-1} \cong U^j$ for $j \in [1,s]$ and the element of $E^\epsilon_{V^j/V^{j-1}}$ induced by T is 0 if $j \in [1,t]$ and is in $E^\epsilon_{V^s/V^{s-1},\bar\sigma}$ if $j = s$. This is a locally closed smooth irreducible subset of E''. From the definitions we have $\mathcal{K}'' = IC(\bar{S}'', \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E''}$. We have $p_3(S'') \subset E^\epsilon_{V,0}$. Since $E^\epsilon_{V,0}$ is closed in E^ϵ_V we see that $p_3(\bar{S}'') \subset E^{\epsilon,0}_V$. Thus $\mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j)) \subset E^{\epsilon,0}_V$. Moreover, since p_3 is proper, $\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j)$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_{e \in [1,M]} P_e[d_e]$ where P_e are simple G_V-equivariant perverse sheaves on E^ϵ_V with $\mathrm{supp}(P_e) \subset E^{\epsilon,0}_V$ and $d_e \in \mathbf{Z}$ (we use the decomposition theorem). Let $\mathcal{Y}_{t,\sigma}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves on E^ϵ_V that are isomorphic to P_e for some $e \in [1,M]$.

1.8. We preserve the setup of 1.5. Assume that $s = t+1$, $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $\theta \in \tilde{Z}_{|V|}$. Assume that for $j \in [1,t]$ we have $|U^j| = \underline{\theta_{\lambda_j}}\mathbf{1}$ where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_t$ are distinct elements of $\mathbf{k}^*$ and $|U^s| = |\theta_{\lambda_s}|$ (we set $\lambda_s = 0$). Note that $\theta_\lambda = 0$ for any $\lambda \notin \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s\}$. For $j \in [1,s]$ define $\theta^j \in \tilde{Z}_{|U^j|}$ by $\theta^j_{\lambda_j} = \theta_{\lambda_j}$, $\theta^j_\lambda = 0$ if $\lambda \ne \lambda^j$. Let $S_j = E^\epsilon_{U^j,\theta^j}$, a G_{U^j}-orbit in $E^\epsilon_{U^j}$; let $\mathcal{K}_j = IC(\bar{S}_j, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^\epsilon_{U^j}}$. Let S'' be the set of all $(T,f) \in E''$ such that the following holds: $f = (V^j)$ is such that $V^j/V^{j-1} \cong U^j$ for $j \in [1,s]$ and $T \in E^\epsilon_V$ is such that for $j \in [1,s]$, the element of $E^\epsilon_{V^j/V^{j-1}}$ induced by T belongs to $E^\epsilon_{V^j/V^{j-1},\theta^j}$. We have $\mathcal{K}'' = IC(\bar{S}'', \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E''}$. Let S be the set of all $T \in E^\epsilon_V$ such that for $j \in [1,s]$ we have $V_{T,\lambda_j} \cong U^j$ and the element of $E^\epsilon_{V_{T,\lambda_j}}$ induced by T belongs to $E^\epsilon_{V_{T,\lambda_j},\theta^j}$. Note that $S = E^\epsilon_{V,\theta}$. For any $T \in \bar{S}$ (closure of S) the fibre $p_3^{-1}(T)$ consists of exactly one element, namely (T,f) where $f = (V^j)$ is given by $V^1 = V_{T,\lambda_1}$, $V^2 = V_{T,\lambda_1} \oplus V_{T,\lambda_2}$, etc. More precisely, p_3 defines an isomorphism $\bar{S}'' \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{S}$. We see that $\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j) = IC(\overline{E^\epsilon_{V,\theta}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^\epsilon_V}$.

2. Cyclic quivers and antiorbital complexes

2.1. We preserve the setup in 1.1. If $V \in \mathcal{C}$, we have a perfect bilinear pairing $E_V^\epsilon \times E_V^{-\epsilon} \to \mathbf{k}$ given by $(T, T') = \mathrm{tr}(TT', V)$; it is compatible with the G_V-action. We use it to identify $E_V^{-\epsilon}$ with the dual of E_V^ϵ. Hence the D-F transform $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{D}(E_V^\epsilon) \to \mathcal{D}(E_V^{-\epsilon})$ is well defined. In particular the notion of antiorbital complex on $E_V^{-\epsilon}$ is well defined; it is a complex of the form $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})$ where $\mathcal{K}$ is an orbital complex on E_V^ϵ (with respect to the G_V-action).

2.2. Define $h : (\mathbf{k}^*)^m \to \mathbf{k}$ and $h' : (\mathbf{k}^*)^m \to \mathbf{k}^*$ by

$$h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m, \quad h'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_m.$$

Then the local system $\mathcal{L}^h$ on $(\mathbf{k}^*)^m$ is defined (see 0.7). According to Deligne [D, Thm.7.8, p.221], the complex $\mathfrak{K}^m := h'_! \mathcal{L}^h[m-1] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k}^*)$ (a sheaf theory version of a family of generalized Kloosterman sums) is a local system of rank m on $\mathbf{k}^*$. (The rank 1 local system $\mathfrak{K}^1$ is of Artin-Schreier type. The rank 2 local system $\mathfrak{K}^2$ is implicit in Weil's paper [W].)

Assume now that $V \in \mathcal{C}$, $V \cong \mathbf{v}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Let $\mathcal{K} = IC(E_V^{\epsilon,\lambda}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E_V^\epsilon}$. Note that $\alpha_\lambda^*(\mathfrak{K}^m)$ is a local system of rank m on $E_V^{-\epsilon,*}$ (α_λ as in 1.1). Let $\mathcal{K}' = IC(E_V^{-\epsilon}, \alpha_\lambda^*(\mathfrak{K}^m))_{E_V^{-\epsilon}}$. We show:

(a) $$\mathcal{K}'[m] \cong \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})[m-1].$$

Since $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})[m-1]$ is a simple perverse sheaf on $E_V^{-\epsilon}$ it is enough to show that

$$\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})[m-1]|_{E_V^{-\epsilon,*}} \cong \mathcal{K}'[m]|_{E_V^{-\epsilon,*}}$$

in $\mathcal{D}(E_V^{-\epsilon,*})$ or equivalently that

$$\delta'_{1!}(\delta_1^* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \otimes \mathcal{L}^{(,)})[m][m-1] = \alpha_\lambda^*(\mathfrak{K}^m)[m]$$

where $\delta_1 : E_V^{\epsilon,\lambda} \times E_V^{-\epsilon,*} \to E_V^{\epsilon,\lambda}$, $\delta'_1 : E_V^{\epsilon,\lambda} \times E_V^{-\epsilon,*} \to E_V^{-\epsilon,*}$ are the projections and the restriction of $(,)$ to $E_V^{\epsilon,\lambda} \times E_V^{-\epsilon,*}$ is denoted again by $(,)$. Thus it is enough to show that $\delta'_{1!}\mathcal{L}^{(,)} = \alpha_\lambda^* h'_! \mathcal{L}^h$. We can assume that $V = \mathbf{v}$. Then $E_V^{\epsilon,\lambda}$ can be identified with $h'^{-1}(\lambda)$, $E_V^{-\epsilon,*}$ can be identified with $(\mathbf{k}^*)^m$, $(,)$ can be identified with the map

$$h'' : h'^{-1}(\lambda) \times (\mathbf{k}^*)^m \to \mathbf{k}, \quad ((x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_m)) \mapsto x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_m y_m,$$

δ'_1 can be identified with $\delta'' : h'^{-1}(\lambda) \times (\mathbf{k}^*)^m \to (\mathbf{k}^*)^m$ (second projection) and α_λ can be identified with

$$\alpha' : (\mathbf{k}^*)^m \to \mathbf{k}^*, \quad (z_1, \dots, z_m) \mapsto \lambda z_1 \dots z_m.$$

Define $j : h'^{-1}(\lambda) \times (\mathbf{k}^*)^m \to (\mathbf{k}^*)^m$ by

$$j((x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_m)) = (x_1 y_1, \dots, x_m y_m).$$

It is enough to show that $\alpha'^* h'_! h^* = \delta''_! h''^*$. Since $h'' = hj$ it is enough to show that $\alpha'^* h'_! h^* = \delta''_! j^* h^*$, or that $\alpha'^* h'_! = \delta''_! j^*$. This follows from the fact that the diagram consisting of α', h', δ'', j is cartesian.

2.3. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{ap}, t \in \mathbf{N}$ be such that $|V| = |\sigma| + t\mathbf{1}$. Let $E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}$ be the set of all $T \in E^{-\epsilon}_V$ such that $\sharp(\mathcal{S}_T) = t$, $V_{T,\lambda} \cong \mathbf{v}$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_T$ and ${}^0T \in E^{-\epsilon}_{V_{T,0},\bar{\sigma}}$ (${}^0T$ as in 1.1). Note that $E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}$ is a locally closed, smooth, irreducible, G_V-stable subvariety of $E^{-\epsilon}_V$. We define a finite principal covering $\zeta : \tilde{E}^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma} \longrightarrow E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}$ as follows. By definition, $\tilde{E}^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}$ is the set of all pairs (T, ω) where $T \in E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}$ and ω is a total order on $\mathcal{S}(T)$. The group of this covering is $\mathfrak{S}_t$ (it acts freely in an obvious way on $\tilde{E}^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}$. The map ζ is $(T, \omega) \mapsto T$.

2.4. Let $\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P}^{ap} \times \mathcal{R}$. For $\nu \in \mathbf{N}^I$ let $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu = \{(\sigma, \rho) \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}; |\sigma| + \underline{\rho}\mathbf{1} = \nu\}$. We define a map $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\nu$ by $(\sigma, \rho) \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}$ where $\tilde{\sigma}(\beta) = \sigma(\beta) + \rho(b-a)$ for any $\beta = \overline{a, b} \in \mathcal{A}_m$. Note that:

(a) *this map is a bijection.*

The inverse map is $\tilde{\sigma} \mapsto (\sigma, \rho)$ where $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$ is defined by

$\rho(n) = \min_{\beta=\overline{a,b}\in\mathcal{A}_m; b-a=n} \tilde{\sigma}(\beta)$

and $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{ap}$ is defined by $\sigma(\beta) = \tilde{\sigma}(\beta) - \rho(b-a)$ for any $\beta = \overline{a, b} \in \mathcal{A}_m$.

Let Z be the set of all collections $\pi = (\pi^\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbf{k}}$ where $\pi^\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $\pi^0 \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}$ are such that $\pi^\lambda = 0$ for all but finitely many $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$. For $\nu \in \mathbf{N}^I$ let $Z_\nu = \{\pi \in Z; \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbf{k}^*} \underline{\pi^\lambda}\mathbf{1} + |\pi^0| = \nu\}$. For $\pi \in Z$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ we define $\pi_\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ by $\pi_\lambda = \pi^\lambda$ if $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $\pi_0 = \rho$ if $\lambda = 0$ and $\pi^0 = (\sigma, \rho)$.

Assume that we are given a collection of bijections

(b) $\Phi_{n,\lambda} : \mathcal{R}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{R}_n$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*, n \in \mathbf{N}$), $\Psi_{\nu'} : \mathcal{P}_{\nu'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\nu'}$ ($\nu' \in \mathbf{N}^I$).

Such a collection exists by (a). We define a bijection $\Phi : \tilde{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$ by $(\theta_\lambda) \mapsto (\pi_\lambda)$ where $\pi_\lambda = \Phi_{n,\lambda}(\theta_\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$ (here $n = \underline{\theta_\lambda}$) and $\pi_0 = \Psi_{\nu'}(\theta_0)$ (here $\nu' = |\theta_0|$). This restricts for any $\nu \in \mathbf{N}^I$ to a bijection

(c) $\Phi_{(\nu)} : \tilde{Z}_\nu \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_\nu$.

2.5. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}$ and let $\nu = |V|$. Let $\pi \in Z_\nu$. Let $z = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbf{k}^*} \underline{\pi_\lambda}$. We have $\pi^0 = (\sigma, \pi_0) \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}$ where $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{ap}$ and $|V| = |\sigma| + z\mathbf{1}$. We associate to π a finite unramified covering $\xi : {}'E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma} \longrightarrow E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ as follows. By definition, ${}'E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ is the set of all pairs (T, g) where $T \in E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ and $g : \mathcal{S}(T) \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is a map such that $\sharp(g^{-1}(\lambda')) = \underline{\pi_{\lambda'}}$ for $l' \in \mathbf{k}$. We define ξ by $(T, g) \mapsto T$. Note that for $T \in E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ we have

$$\sharp(\xi^{-1}(T)) = z!(\prod_{\lambda'\in\mathbf{k}} \underline{\pi_{\lambda'}}!)^{-1}.$$

Let $\mathbf{L}$ be the local system of rank $m^{z-\underline{\pi_0}}$ on ${}'E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ whose stalk at (T, g) is $\boxtimes_{\lambda\in\mathcal{S}_T, g(\lambda)\neq 0} \mathfrak{K}^m_{\lambda g(\lambda)}$. We define a finite principal covering $\zeta : {}''E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma} \longrightarrow {}'E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ as follows. By definition, ${}''E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ is the set of all triples (T, g, ω) where $(T, g) \in {}'E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ and ω is a collection of total orders on each of the sets $g^{-1}(\lambda')$ ($\lambda' \in \mathbf{k}$). The group of this covering is $\mathcal{G} := \prod_{\lambda'\in\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{\pi_{\lambda'}}}$. (It acts freely in an obvious way on ${}''E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$.) Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be the local system on ${}'E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ associated to the principal covering ζ and the irreducible representation $\boxtimes_{\lambda'\in\mathbf{k}}\Pi_{\pi_{\lambda'}}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. (The rank of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is $\prod_{\lambda'\in\mathbf{k}} N_{\pi_{\lambda'}}$.) Let $\mathcal{E}_\pi = \xi_!(\mathbf{L}\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$, a local system on $E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ of rank

$$z!(\prod_{\lambda'\in\mathbf{k}} \underline{\pi_{\lambda'}}!)^{-1} m^{z-\underline{\pi_0}} \prod_{\lambda'\in\mathbf{k}} N_{\pi_{\lambda'}}.$$

Hence the complex

$$\text{(a)} \qquad IC(\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}}, \mathcal{E}_\pi)_{E_V^{-\epsilon}}$$

is defined.

In the following theorem we assume that the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ is sufficiently large. (The reason is explained in 2.9.) It is likely that this assumption is unnecessary.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $V \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\nu = |V|$.*

(a) For any $\pi \in Z_\nu$, the local system $\mathcal{E}_\pi$ on $E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}$ (notation of 2.5) is irreducible.

(b) There exists a bijection $\tilde{Z}_\nu \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_\nu$ as in 2.4(c) such that if $\theta \in \tilde{Z}_\nu$ and $\pi \in Z_\nu$ is the corresponding element under this bijection, then

$$\mathfrak{F}(IC(\overline{E^{\epsilon}_{V,\theta}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^\epsilon_V}[?]) \cong IC(\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}}, \mathcal{E}_\pi)_{E_V^{-\epsilon}}[?].$$

The proof will occupy much of the remainder of this section. We see that the complexes 2.5(a) for various $\pi \in Z_\nu$ are (up to shift) exactly the antiorbital complexes on $E_V^{-\epsilon}$.

2.7. We preserve the setup of 1.5. Note that for $j \in [1,s]$, $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_j)$ is a G_{U^j}-equivariant perverse sheaf (up to shift) on $E^{-\epsilon}_{U^j}$. Hence $\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_j)) \in \mathcal{D}(E_V^{-\epsilon})$ is defined. We have:

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \mathfrak{F}(\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j\mathcal{K}_j)) \cong \mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_j))[?] \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(E_V^{-\epsilon}).$$

This is proved in [L2, 5.4] assuming that $m \ge 2$ and $s = 2$; the proof for the case when $m \ge 2$, $s \ne 2$ is similar to that for $m \ge 2, s = 2$ or can be deduced from the case $s = 2$ by repetition. The proof for $m = 1$ is identical to that for $m \ge 2$. (Alternatively, (a) can be deduced from Theorem A.2 in the Appendix.)

2.8. We preserve the setup of 1.5 but we replace ϵ by $-\epsilon$. We fix $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Assume that $U^j \cong \mathbf{v}$ for $j \in [1,s]$. Let $\alpha_{\lambda,j} : E^{-\epsilon,*}_{U^j} \to \mathbf{k}^*$ be the map α_λ of 1.1 with V replaced by U^j. Let $\mathcal{K}_j = IC(E^{-\epsilon}_{U^j}, \alpha^*_{\lambda,j}\mathfrak{K}^m)$. Let S'' be the set of all $(T,f) \in E''$ such that the following holds: $f = (V^j)$ is such that for $j \in [1,s]$, $V^j/V^{j-1} \cong U^j$ and the element of $E^\epsilon_{V^j/V^{j-1}}$ induced by T is in $E^{-\epsilon,*}_{V^j/V^{j-1}}$. This is an open dense smooth irreducible subset of E''. Define $\alpha : S'' \to (\mathbf{k}^*)^s$ by $\alpha(T,f) = (a_1\lambda, a_2\lambda, \dots, a_s\lambda)$ where $T^m = a_j$ on V^j/V^{j-1}. Let $\mathcal{L}'' = \alpha^*((\mathfrak{K}^m)^{\boxtimes s})$, a local system on S''. We have $\mathcal{K}'' = IC(E'', \mathcal{L}'')$. Let S be the set of all $T \in E_V^{-\epsilon}$ such that $\sharp(\mathcal{S}_T) = s$ and $V_{T,\lambda} \cong \mathbf{v}$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_T$. (Thus, $S = E_{V,s,0}^{-\epsilon}$, see 2.3.) This is an open dense subset of $E_V^{-\epsilon}$. Also $p_3^{-1}(S)$ is an open dense (hence irreducible) subset of S''; let $p_3' : p_3^{-1}(S) \longrightarrow S$ be the restriction of p_3. We have an isomorphism $u : \tilde{E}_{V,s,0}^{-\epsilon} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_3^{-1}(S)$ (notation of 2.3) given by $(T, \omega) \mapsto (T, f)$ where $f = (V^j)$ is defined by $V^1 = V_{T,\lambda_1}$, $V^2 = V_{T,\lambda_1} \oplus V_{T,\lambda_2}$, etc. Here $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s$ are the elements of $\mathcal{S}(T)$ arranged in the order given by ω. Under the isomorphism u, the map p_3' becomes the map ζ in 2.3 hence is a finite principal covering whose group is $\mathfrak{S}_s$. Thus $p'_{3!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s} (\mathcal{L}^\rho)^{\oplus N_\rho}$ as local systems on S where $\mathcal{L}^\rho$ is the local system on S associated to p_3' and the irreducible representation Π_ρ of $\mathfrak{S}_s$. Note that the local systems $\mathcal{L}^\rho, \rho \in \mathcal{R}_s$, are irreducible and mutually nonisomorphic (by the irreducibility of $p_3^{-1}(S)$). Define $\bar{\alpha} : S \longrightarrow (\mathbf{k}^*)^s/\mathfrak{S}_s$ by $\bar{\alpha}(T) = (a_1\lambda, a_2\lambda, \dots, a_s\lambda)$ (unordered) where $a_j \in \mathbf{k}^*$ are the scalars such that $V_{T,a_j} \neq 0$. Let $\bar{\mathbf{L}}$ be the local system on $(\mathbf{k}^*)^s/\mathfrak{S}_s$ whose inverse image under the obvious map $(\mathbf{k}^*)^s \longrightarrow (\mathbf{k}^*)^s/\mathfrak{S}_s$ is $(\mathfrak{K}^m)^{\boxtimes s}$. Let $\mathbf{L} = \bar{\alpha}^*(\bar{\mathbf{L}})$, a local system on S. We have $\mathcal{L}''|_{p_3^{-1}(S)} = p_3'^*\mathbf{L}$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j)|_S = p'_{3!}(p_3'^*\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{L} \otimes p'_{3!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = \oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s} \mathbf{L} \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\rho)^{\oplus N_\rho}.$$

By 2.2, for $j \in [1, s]$, our $\mathcal{K}_j$ is (up to shift) the D-F transform of $\mathcal{K}_j$ in 1.6. Using 2.7 we deduce that our $\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j)$ is (up to shift) the D-F transform of $\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j)$ of 1.6. Hence

$$\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j) \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s} \mathfrak{F}(P_\rho'')^{\oplus N_\rho}[d]$$

with P_ρ'' as in 1.6 and $d \in \mathbf{Z}$. Note that P_ρ'' are simple mutually nonisomorphic perverse sheaves on $E_V^{-\epsilon}$. Restricting to S we obtain

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s} \mathbf{L} \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\rho)^{\oplus N_\rho} \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s} \mathfrak{F}(P_\rho'')|_S^{\oplus N_\rho}[d]$$

in $\mathcal{D}(S)$. It follows that for each ρ, $\mathfrak{F}(P_\rho'')|_S[d]$ is a local system on S (possibly zero). Let $\mathcal{R}_s'$ be the set of all $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s$ such that $\mathfrak{F}(P_\rho'')|_S[d] \neq 0$. Since S is open dense in $E_V^{-\epsilon}$, $\mathfrak{F}(P_\rho'')|_S[d]$ $(\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s')$ are irreducible mutually nonisomorphic local system on S. Thus in (a), the right hand side is a direct sum of $\sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s'} N_\rho$ irreducible local systems while the left hand side is a direct sum of $\sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s} N_\rho$ nonzero local systems. This forces $\mathcal{R}_s = \mathcal{R}_s'$ and that each $\mathbf{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\rho$ is irreducible. If $\rho \neq \rho'$ then $\mathbf{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\rho \not\cong \mathbf{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\rho'}$; otherwise the number of nonisomorphic irreducible local systems which appear in the left hand side of (a) would be $< \sharp(\mathcal{R}_s)$ while the analogous number for the right hand side of (a) would be equal to $\sharp(\mathcal{R}_s)$. We see that there is a unique permutation $\rho \mapsto \rho'$ of $\mathcal{R}_s$ such that $\mathfrak{F}(P_\rho'')|_S[d] \cong \mathbf{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\rho'}$ for any $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s$. It follows that $\mathfrak{F}(P_\rho'') \cong IC(E_V^{-\epsilon}, \mathbf{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\rho'})_{E_V^{-\epsilon}}[?]$. Thus the D-F transforms of orbital complexes on E_V^ϵ with support contained in $E_V^{\epsilon,\lambda}$ are exactly the complexes of the form $IC(E_V^{-\epsilon}, \mathbf{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\rho'})_{E_V^{-\epsilon}}[?]$ for various $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_s$. The bijection $\mathcal{R}_s \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_s$, $\rho \mapsto \rho'$ is denoted by $\Phi_{s,\lambda}$.

2.9. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}$. Combining two results [L2, 10.14], [L3, 5.9] in the theory of canonical bases, we see that

(a) *the collection of simple perverse sheaves* $IC(\overline{E^{\epsilon}_{V,\bar\sigma}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^{\epsilon}_V}[?]$, *(*$\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{ap}_{|V|}$*) is mapped bijectively by* $\mathfrak{F}$ *onto the collection of simple perverse sheaves*
$IC(\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\bar\sigma}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^{-\epsilon}_V}[?]$, *(*$\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{ap}_{|V|}$*).*

(Both collections index the canonical basis in degree $|V|$ of a certain algebra associated to affine SL_2. Note that in [L3] the arguments are in characteristic 0 and they imply what we need only in sufficiently large characteristic.)

It follows that there exists a bijection $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^*$, $\mathcal{P}^{ap}_{|V|} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}^{ap}_{|V|}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{F}(IC(\overline{E^{\epsilon}_{V,\bar\sigma}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^{\epsilon}_V}[?]) \cong IC(\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\bar\sigma^*}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^{-\epsilon}_V}[?] \tag{b}$$

for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{ap}_{|V|}$.

2.10. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{K} = IC(\{0\}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^{\epsilon}_V}$, $\mathcal{K}' = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = IC(E^{-\epsilon}_V, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$. From the definitions we have $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}'[n]$ where $n = \dim E^{-\epsilon}_V$.

2.11. We preserve the setup of 1.5 but we replace ϵ by $-\epsilon$. Assume that $s = t+1$ where $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that U^j, σ are as in 1.7. Let $\sigma^* \in \mathcal{P}^{ap}_{|U^s|}$ be as in 2.9. For $j \in [1,t]$ let $\mathcal{K}_j = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \in \mathcal{D}(E^{-\epsilon}_{U^j})$. Let $\mathcal{K}_s = IC(\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{U^s,\bar\sigma^*}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^{-\epsilon}_{U^s}}$, ($\bar\sigma^*$ as in 1.3). Let S'' be the set of all $(T,f) \in E''$ such that the following holds: $f = (V^j)$ is such that $V^j/V^{j-1} \cong U^j$ for $j \in [1,s]$ and the element of $E^{-\epsilon}_{V/V^{s-1}}$ induced by T is in $E^{-\epsilon}_{V/V^{s-1},\bar\sigma^*}$. This is a locally closed smooth irreducible subset of E''. In our case the complex $\mathcal{K}''$ of 1.5 is $\mathcal{K}'' = IC(\bar S'', \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E''}$. Let $S = E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma^*}$, see 2.3. Now $p_3^{-1}(S)$ is an open dense (hence irreducible) subset of S''; let $p_3' : p_3^{-1}(S) \to S$ be the restriction of p_3. We have an isomorphism $u : \tilde E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma^*} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_3^{-1}(S)$ (notation of 2.3) given by $(T,\omega) \mapsto (T,f)$ where $f = (V^j)$ is defined by $V^1 = V_{T,\lambda_1}$, $V^2 = V_{T,\lambda_1} \oplus V_{T,\lambda_2}$, etc. Here $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_t$ are the elements of $\mathcal{S}(T)$ arranged in the order given by ω. Under the isomorphism u, the map p_3' becomes the map ζ in 2.3 hence is a finite principal covering whose group is $\mathfrak{S}_t$. Thus $p'_{3!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_t} (\mathcal{L}^\rho)^{\oplus N_\rho}$ as local systems on S where $\mathcal{L}^\rho$ is the local system on S associated to p_3' and the irreducible representation Π_ρ of $\mathfrak{S}_t$. Note that the local systems $\mathcal{L}^\rho, \rho \in \mathcal{R}_t$, are irreducible and mutually nonisomorphic (by the irreducibility of $p_3^{-1}(S)$). Since p_3 is proper, we have $p_3(\bar S'') = p_3(\overline{p_3^{-1}(S)}) = \overline{p_3(p_3^{-1}(S))} = \bar S$ where$^-$denotes closure. Hence $\operatorname{supp}(p_{3!}\mathcal{K}'') \subset \bar S$.

By 2.9(b), 2.10, for $j \in [1,s]$, our $\mathcal{K}_j$ is (up to shift) the D-F transform of $\mathcal{K}_j$ in 1.7. Using 2.7 we deduce that our $\operatorname{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j)$ is (up to shift) the D-F transform of $\operatorname{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j)$ of 1.7. Hence $\operatorname{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j) = p_{3!}\mathcal{K}''$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_{e \in [1,M]} \mathfrak{F}(P_e)[d'_e]$ where P_e are as in 1.7 and $d'_e \in \mathbf{Z}$. Note that $\mathfrak{F}(P_e)$ are simple perverse sheaves on $E^{-\epsilon}_V$ with support contained in $\bar S$. Restricting to S we obtain

$$\oplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_t} (\mathcal{L}^\rho)^{\oplus N_\rho} \cong \oplus_{e \in [1,M]} \mathfrak{F}(P_e)|_S[d'_e]$$

in $\mathcal{D}(S)$. It follows that for any e, $\mathfrak{F}(P_e)|_S[d'_e]$ is a local system on S (possibly zero). Since $\mathrm{supp}(\mathfrak{F}(P_e)) \subset \bar{S}$ we see that $\mathfrak{F}(P_e)|_S[d'_e]$ is either an irreducible local system on S or is 0. We deduce that $\mathfrak{F}(P_e)|_S$ is either 0 or is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}^\rho[-d'_e]$ for a well defined $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_t$. Hence either $\mathrm{supp}(\mathfrak{F}(P_e)) \subset \bar{S} - S$ or $\mathfrak{F}(P_e) \cong IC(\bar{S}, \mathcal{L}^\rho)_{E_V^{-e}}[?]$. Conversely, we see that for any $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_t$ we have $IC(\bar{S}, \mathcal{L}^\rho)_{E_V^{-e}}[?] \cong \mathfrak{F}(P_e)$ for some e. Let $\mathcal{X}_{t,\sigma^*}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves on $E_V^{-\epsilon}$ that are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{F}(P_e)$ for some $e \in [1, M]$. Let $\mathcal{X}'_{t,\sigma^*}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves on $E_V^{-\epsilon}$ that are of the form $IC(\bar{S}, \mathcal{L}^\rho)_{E_V^{-e}}[?]$ for some $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_t$. We see that

(a) $\sharp(\mathcal{R}_t) = \sharp(\mathcal{X}'_{t,\sigma^*}) \le \sharp(\mathcal{X}_{t,\sigma^*})$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}'_{t,\sigma}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{Y}_{t,\sigma}$ (see 1.7) which corresponds to the subset $\mathcal{X}'_{t,\sigma^*}$ under the bijection

(b) $\mathcal{Y}_{t,\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_{t,\sigma^*}$.

induced by $\mathfrak{F}$. Then $\mathfrak{F}$ defines a bijection

(c) $\mathcal{Y}'_{t,\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}'_{t,\sigma^*}$.

We show:

(d) if $t, \tilde{t} \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\sigma, \tilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{P}^{ap}$ are such that $|V| = |\sigma| + t\mathbf{1} = |\tilde{\sigma}| + \tilde{t}\mathbf{1}$ and $(t,\sigma) \ne (\tilde{t}, \tilde{\sigma})$, then $\mathcal{X}'_{t,\sigma^*} \cap \mathcal{X}'_{\tilde{t},\tilde{\sigma}^*} = \emptyset$.

It is enough to show that $E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma^*} \cap E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\tilde{t},\tilde{\sigma}^*} = \emptyset$. This is clear from the definition.

Applying the inverse of $\mathfrak{F}$ we obtain:

(e) in the setup of (d), we have $\mathcal{Y}'_{t,\sigma} \cap \mathcal{Y}'_{\tilde{t},\tilde{\sigma}} = \emptyset$.

Let $\nu = |V|$. Let X be the set of all $(t, \tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathcal{P}^{ap}$ such that $|\tilde{\sigma}| + t\mathbf{1} = \nu$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sharp(\mathcal{P}_\nu) \ge \sharp(\cup_{(t,\tilde{\sigma})\in X} \mathcal{Y}_{t,\tilde{\sigma}}) \ge \sharp(\cup_{(t,\tilde{\sigma})\in X} \mathcal{Y}'_{t,\tilde{\sigma}}) = \sum_{(t,\tilde{\sigma})\in X} \sharp(\mathcal{Y}'_{t,\tilde{\sigma}}) \\ \text{(f)} \qquad &= \sum_{(t,\tilde{\sigma})\in X} \sharp(\mathcal{X}'_{t,\tilde{\sigma}^*}) = \sum_{(t,\tilde{\sigma})\in X} \sharp(\mathcal{R}_t) = \sharp(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu) = \sharp(\mathcal{P}_\nu). \end{aligned}$$

The first $\ge$ follows from the fact that each $\mathcal{Y}_{t,\sigma}$ consists of simple perverse sheaves of the form $IC(\overline{E^\epsilon_{V,\tilde{\sigma}}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E_V^\epsilon}[?]$ with $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_\nu$. The second $\ge$ follows from the inclusion $\mathcal{Y}'_{t,\tilde{\sigma}} \subset \mathcal{Y}_{t,\tilde{\sigma}}$. The first $=$ follows from (e). The second $=$ follows from (c). The third $=$ follows from (b). The fourth $=$ follows from definitions. The fifth $=$ follows from 2.4(a). It follows that each inequality in (f) is an equality.

In particular we see that any simple perverse sheaf of the form $IC(\overline{E^\epsilon_{V,\overline{\sigma_1}}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E_V^\epsilon}[?]$ with $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{P}_\nu$ belongs to $\mathcal{Y}'_{t,\sigma}$ for a unique $(t,\sigma) \in X$. Hence any simple perverse sheaf of the form $\mathfrak{F}(IC(\overline{E^\epsilon_{V,\overline{\sigma_1}}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E_V^\epsilon}[?])$ with $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{P}_\nu$ belongs to $\mathcal{X}'_{t,\sigma^*}$ for a unique $(t,\sigma) \in X$. In particular it is of the form $IC(\overline{E^{-e}_{V,t,\sigma^*}}, \mathcal{L}^\rho)_{E_V^{-e}}[?]$ for a unique $(\sigma^*, \rho) \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{|V|}$, $t = \underline{\rho}$.

Since $\sharp(\mathcal{P}_\nu) = \sharp(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu)$, see 2.4, we see that there exists a unique bijection Ψ_ν :

$\mathcal{P}_\nu \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu$, $\sigma' \mapsto \hat\sigma'$, such that

$$\text{(g)} \qquad \mathfrak{F}(IC(\overline{E^{\epsilon}_{V,\bar\sigma'}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^\epsilon_V}[?]) \cong IC(\overline{E^{-e}_{V,t,\sigma^*}}, \mathcal{L}^\rho)_{E_V^{-e}}[?]$$

for any $\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}_\nu$; here $\hat\sigma' = (\sigma^*, \rho)$, $t = \underline{\rho}$.

2.12. We preserve the setup of 1.5 but we replace ϵ by $-\epsilon$. Assume that $s = t+1$, $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s$, θ, U^j be as in 1.8. Let $\nu = |V|$. Let $\Phi_{(\nu)} : \tilde Z_\nu \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_\nu$ be the bijection associated in 2.4 to the collection of bijections $\Phi_{n,\lambda}$ (as in 2.8) and $\Psi_{\nu'}$ (as in 2.11). Let $\pi = \Phi_{(\nu)}(\theta) \in Z_\nu$. For $j \in [1,t]$ let $d_j = \underline{\pi_{\lambda_j}}$. Let $S_j = E^{-\epsilon}_{U^j,d_j,0}$, see 2.3. Let $\mathbf{L}_j$ be the local system on S_j defined as $\mathbf{L}$ in 2.8 (with V, s, λ replaced by U^j, d_j, λ_j). Let $\mathcal{L}^{\pi_{\lambda_j}}$ be the local system on S_j defined as $\mathcal{L}^{\rho'}$ in 2.8 (with V, s, λ, ρ replaced by $U^j, d_j, \lambda_j, \theta_{\lambda_j}$). Let $\mathcal{K}_j = IC(E^{-\epsilon}_{U^j}, \mathbf{L}_j \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\pi_{\lambda_j}})_{E^{-\epsilon}_{U^j}}$. By 2.8, this $\mathcal{K}_j$ is (up to shift) the D-F transform of the $\mathcal{K}_j$ in 1.8. We write $\pi^{\lambda_s} = \pi^0$ as $(\sigma, \rho) \in \mathcal{P}^{ap} \times \mathcal{R}$. Let $d_s = \underline{\rho}$. Let $S_s = E^{-\epsilon}_{U^s,d_s,\sigma}$. Let $\mathcal{L}^{\pi_0}$ be the local system on S_s defined as $\mathcal{L}^\rho$ in 2.11 (with V, t, ρ replaced by U^s, d_s, π_0). Let $\mathcal{K}_s = IC(\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{U^s,d_s,\sigma}}, \mathcal{L}^{\pi_0})_{E^{-\epsilon}_{U^s}}$. By 2.11, this $\mathcal{K}_s$ is (up to shift) the D-F transform of the $\mathcal{K}_s$ in 1.8.

Let S'' be the set of all $(T, f) \in E''$ such that the following holds: $f = (V^j)$ is such that $V^j/V^{j-1} \cong U^j$ for $j \in [1,s]$ and T is such that the element T_j of $E^{-\epsilon}_{V^j/V^{j-1}}$ induced by T is in $E^{-\epsilon}_{V^j/V^{j-1},d_j,0}$ (if $j \in [1,t]$) and in $E^{-\epsilon}_{V/V^s,d_s,\sigma}$ (if $j = s$). This is a locally closed smooth irreducible subset of E''. We define a finite principal covering $\zeta'' : \tilde S'' \longrightarrow S''$ as follows. By definition, $\tilde S''$ is the set of all triples (T, f, ω) where $(T, f) \in S''$ and $\omega = (\omega_j)$ is a collection of total orders ω_j on $\mathcal{S}(T_j)$ $(j = 1, \dots, s)$. The group of this covering is $\mathcal{G} = \prod_{j\in[1,s]} \mathfrak{S}_{d_j}$ (it acts freely in an obvious way on $\tilde E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}$). The map ζ'' is $(T, f, \omega) \mapsto (T, f)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be the local system on S'' associated to the principal covering ζ'' and the irreducible representation $\boxtimes_{\lambda'\in\mathbf{k}} \Pi_{\pi_{\lambda'}}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathbf{L}''$ be the local system on S'' whose stalk at (T, f) is $\boxtimes_{j\in[1,t]} \boxtimes_{\lambda\in\mathcal{S}_{T_j}} \mathfrak{K}^m_{\lambda\lambda_j}$. In our case the complex $\mathcal{K}''$ of 1.5 is $\mathcal{K}'' = IC(\bar S'', \mathbf{L}'' \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{E_V^{-e}}$. From 2.7 we see that our $\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j) = p_{3!}\mathcal{K}''$ is (up to shift) the D-F transform of $\mathrm{Ind}(\boxtimes_j \mathcal{K}_j) = IC(\overline{E^\epsilon_{V,\theta}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E^\epsilon_V}$ of 1.8.

Let $z = \sum_{j\in[1,s]} \underline{\pi_{\lambda_j}}$. Let $S = E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$, see 2.3. Now $p_3^{-1}(S)$ is an open dense subset of S''; let $p'_3 : p_3^{-1}(S) \longrightarrow S$ be the restriction of p_3. We have an isomorphism $u : {}'E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_3^{-1}(S)$ (see 2.5) given by $(T, g) \mapsto (T, f)$ where $f = (V^j)$ is defined by

$$V^1 = \oplus_{\lambda\in g^{-1}(\lambda_1)} V_{T,\lambda}, \quad V^2 = \oplus_{\lambda\in g^{-1}(\lambda_1)\cup g^{-1}(\lambda_2)} V_{T,\lambda}, \text{ etc.}$$

Under the isomorphism u, the map p'_3 becomes the map ξ of 2.5. Since p_3 is proper, we have $p_3(\bar S'') = p_3(\overline{p_3^{-1}(S)}) = \overline{p_3(p_3^{-1}(S))} = \bar S$ where $^-$ denotes closure. Hence $\mathrm{supp}(p_{3!}\mathcal{K}'') \subset \bar S$ so that $p_{3!}\mathcal{K}''$ is completely determined by its restriction to S. Since $p_{3!}\mathcal{K}''[?]$ is the D-F transform of a simple perverse sheaf, it is itself a simple perverse sheaf. We have

$$(p_{3!}\mathcal{K}'')|_S = p'_{3!}((\mathbf{L}''\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{p_3^{-1}(S)}) = \xi_!(\mathbf{L}\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \mathcal{E}_\pi$$

(notation of 2.5). We see that $p_{3!}\mathcal{K}'' = IC(\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}}, \mathcal{E}_\pi)_{E_V^{-e}}$. Thus $IC(\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}}, \mathcal{E}_\pi)_{E_V^{-e}}$ is (up to shift) the D-F transform of $IC(\overline{E^{\epsilon}_{V,\theta}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E_V^\epsilon}$. This forces $\mathcal{E}_\pi$ to be an irreducible local system, proving 2.6(a); 2.6(b) follows as well.

2.13. We state a converse of 2.9(a). Let $V\in\mathcal{C}$. Let $\nu=|V|$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a G_V-equivariant simple perverse sheaf on E_V^ϵ.

(a) *The following three conditions on $\mathcal{K}$ are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{K})$ *is contained in the nilpotent variety and* $\mathrm{supp}(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}))$ *is contained in the nilpotent variety;*

(ii) $\mathcal{K}$ is biorbital.

(iii) $\mathcal{K}\cong IC(\overline{E^\epsilon_{V,\bar\sigma}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{E_V^\epsilon}[?]$ for some $\sigma\in\mathcal{P}^{ap}_\nu$.

(This gives a new characterization of the perverse sheaves which constitute the canonical basis [L2] associated to a cyclic quiver.)

Let S_1 (resp. S_2 or S_3) be the set of $\mathcal{K}$ (up to isomorphism) as in (i) (resp. as in (ii) or (iii)). From 2.9(a) we see that $S_3\subset S_1$. From 2.11 we see that

$\sharp(S_1)=\sharp((\sigma^*,\rho)\in\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu;\underline{\rho}=0\}=\sharp(\mathcal{P}^{ap}_\nu)=\sharp(S_3)$.

It follows that $S_1=S_3$.

Clearly, $S_1\subset S_2$. Assume now that $\mathcal{K}\in S_2$. If $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{K})$ is the closure of a non-nilpotent orbit then from 2.12 we see that the support of $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})$ is a closure of a subvariety of the form $E^{-\epsilon}_{V,z,\sigma}$ where $z>0$, $\sigma\in\mathcal{P}^{ap}$; in particular, the support of $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})$ is not the closure of a single orbit so that $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})$ is not orbital, a contradiction. Thus, $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{K})$ is the closure of a nilpotent orbit. The same argument shows that if $\mathrm{supp}(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}))$ is the closure of a non-nilpotent orbit then $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}))$ is not orbital hence $\mathcal{K}$ is not orbital, a contradiction. Thus, $\mathrm{supp}(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}))$ is the closure of a nilpotent orbit. We see that $\mathcal{K}\in S_1$. Thus $S_2\subset S_1$ hence $S_1=S_2$. This proves (a).

2.14. Let $V\in\mathcal{C}$. Let $\nu=|V|$. Assume that we are given an $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure on each V_i. Then E_V^ϵ inherits an $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure and for each $\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_\nu$, the subset $E^\epsilon_{V,\bar\sigma}$ of E^ϵ_V is defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Let $U=E^\epsilon_V(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Then the dual space U^* can be identified with $E_V^{-\epsilon}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. For $\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_\nu$ let $U_\sigma=E^\epsilon_{V,\bar\sigma}(\mathbf{F}_q)$, $U^*_\sigma=E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\bar\sigma}(\mathbf{F}_q)$; we define $f_\sigma:U\longrightarrow\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, $f'_\sigma:U^*\longrightarrow\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ as follows. If $x\in U\cap\overline{E^\epsilon_{V,\bar\sigma}}$ (resp. $x'\in U^*\cap\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\bar\sigma}}$) we define $f_\sigma(x)$ (resp. $f'_\sigma(x')$) as the alternating sum of the traces of the Frobenius map on the stalks of the cohomology sheaves of $IC(\overline{E^\epsilon_{V,\bar\sigma}},\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ (resp. $IC(\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\bar\sigma}},\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$) at x (resp. x'); if $x\in U-\overline{E^\epsilon_{V,\bar\sigma}}$ (resp. $x'\in U^*-\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\bar\sigma}}$) we set $f_\sigma(x)=0$ (resp. $f'_\sigma(x')=0$). Note that $(f_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_\nu}$ (resp. $(f'_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_\nu}$) is a $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-basis of the vector space $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}'$) of functions $U\longrightarrow\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ (resp. $U^*\longrightarrow\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$) which are constant on the orbits of $G_V(\mathbf{F}_q)$ and vanish on non-nilpotent elements.

For any $(\sigma,\rho)\in\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu$ we define a function $f''_{\sigma,\rho}:U^*\to\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ as follows. Let $t=\underline{\rho}$. If $x\in U^*\cap\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}}$ we define $f''_{\sigma,\rho}(x)$ as the alternating sum of the traces of the Frobenius map on the stalks of the cohomology sheaves of $IC(\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}},\mathcal{L}^\rho)$ at x; if $x\in U^*-\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}}$, we set $f''_{\sigma,\rho}(x)=0$. We have a partition $E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}(\mathbf{F}_q)=\sqcup_g E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}(\mathbf{F}_q)_g$ (g runs through the conjugacy classes in $\mathfrak{S}_t$) where $E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}(\mathbf{F}_q)_g$ is the set of all $T\in E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ such that the action of Frobenius on $\mathcal{S}_T$ is by a permutation of type g. Clearly each $E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}(\mathbf{F}_q)_g$ is nonempty. From the definitions for any $T\in E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ we have $f''_{\sigma,\rho}(T)=\mathrm{tr}(g,\Pi_\rho)$ where g is defined by $T\in E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma}(\mathbf{F}_q)_g$.

From 2.11(g) we see that there exists a bijection $\mathcal{P}_\nu\xrightarrow{\sim}\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu$, $\sigma'\mapsto\hat\sigma'$, such that for any $\sigma'\in\mathcal{P}_\nu$ we have $\hat f_{\sigma'}=b_{\sigma'}f''_{\sigma^*,\rho}$ where $(\sigma^*,\rho)=\hat\sigma'$ and $b_{\sigma'}\in\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$. Moreover from 2.9(b) we see that we have $\sigma'\in\mathcal{P}_\nu^{ap}$ if and only if $(\sigma^*,\rho)=\hat\sigma'$ satisfies $\underline{\rho}=0$.

Let $f\in\mathcal{F}$ be such that $\hat f\in\mathcal{F}'$. We can write uniquely $f=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_\nu}a_\sigma f_\sigma$ where $a_\sigma\in\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Assume that $a_\sigma\ne 0$ for some $\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_\nu-\mathcal{P}_\nu^{ap}$. Applying Fourier transform we obtain $\hat f=\sum_{(\sigma,\rho)\in\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu}a'_{\sigma,\rho}f''_{\sigma,\rho}$ where $a'_{\sigma,\rho}\in\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ and $a'_{\sigma_0,\rho_0}\in\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$ for some $(\sigma_0,\rho_0)\in\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu$ with $\underline{\rho}_0>0$. We can assume in addition that $\dim E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\underline{\rho}_0,\sigma_0}\ge\dim E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\underline{\rho},\sigma}$ for any $(\sigma,\rho)\in\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu$ such that $a'_{\sigma,\rho}\ne 0$, $\underline{\rho}>0$. Let $t=\underline{\rho}_0$. Then for any $(\sigma,\rho)\in\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu$ such that $a'_{\sigma,\rho}\ne 0$ we have $\overline{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\underline{\rho},\sigma}}\cap E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\underline{\rho}_0,\sigma_0}=\emptyset$ unless $\underline{\rho}=t$, $\sigma=\sigma_0$. Since $\hat f\in\mathcal{F}'$ we have $\hat f|_{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,\underline{\rho}_0,\sigma_0}}=0$. Hence

$$\sum_{(\sigma,\rho)\in\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\nu}a'_{\sigma,\rho}f''_{\sigma,\rho}|_{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma_0}}=0$$

and

$$\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{R}_t}a'_{\sigma_0,\rho}f''_{\sigma_0,\rho}|_{E^{-\epsilon}_{V,t,\sigma_0}}=0,$$

$$\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{R}_t}a'_{\sigma_0,\rho}\mathrm{tr}(g,\Pi_\rho)=0$$

for any conjugacy class g in $\mathfrak{S}_t$. It follows that $a'_{\sigma_0,\rho}=0$ for any $\rho\in\mathcal{R}_t$, contradicting $a'_{\sigma_0,\rho_0}=0$. This contradiction shows that $a_\sigma=0$ for any $s\in\mathcal{P}_\nu-\mathcal{P}_\nu^{ap}$. Thus f is a linear combination of the functions $f_\sigma,(\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_\nu^{ap})$. Conversely, if $\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_\nu^{ap}$ then from 2.9(b) we see that $\hat f_\sigma=cf'_{\sigma^*}$ where $\sigma^*\in\mathcal{P}_\nu^{ap}$ and $c\in\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$. Thus we have $\hat f_s\in\mathcal{F}'$. Thus any linear combination f of the functions $f_\sigma,(\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_\nu^{ap})$ satisfies $f\in\mathcal{F}$, $\hat f\in\mathcal{F}'$ and we have the following result.

(a) *The functions* $f_\sigma,(\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_\nu^{ap})$ *form a basis of the vector space of all functions* $f\in\mathcal{F}$ *such that* $\hat f\in\mathcal{F}'$.

2.15. The results in 2.11 suggest a way to organize the nilpotent K-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ (in the context of 0.3) with $\zeta\ne 1$. (Here we assume for simplicity that all nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ have connected isotropy group in K but a similar picture should hold in general.) Namely, each nilpotent K-orbit should be attached to a ϑ-stable Levi subgroup L of G up to K-conjugacy (such that ϑ acts on L_{der}, the derived group of L, as an inner automorphism) and a biorbital complex $\mathcal{K}$ on the ζ-part of the Lie algebra of L_{der}. Moreover, the nilpotent K-orbits corresponding to a given $(L,\mathcal{K})$ should be such that the D-F transforms of the corresponding orbital complexes have the same support and they should be indexed by something similar to the irreducible representations of a Weyl group. Thus something like the "generalized Springer correspondence" should hold even though the small and semismall maps in the usual theory are missing in general.

3. Further examples of antiorbital complexes

3.1. Let $G,\mathfrak{g},\vartheta,K,\kappa$ be as in 0.3. We assume that the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ is sufficiently large. For $\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*$ let $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta,\kappa_\zeta$ be as in 0.3. For any subspace V of $\mathfrak{g}$ we set $V^\perp=\{x\in\mathfrak{g};\kappa(x,V)=0\}$.

We say that $\vartheta:G\longrightarrow G$ is *inner* if there exists a semisimple element $g_0\in G$ such that $\vartheta(g)=g_0gg_0^{-1}$ for all $g\in G$.

For any parabolic subgroup P of G we denote by U_P the unipotent radical of P and by $\underline{P},\underline{U}_P$ the Lie algebras of P,U_P. Assume now that $\vartheta(P)=P$. Then $\underline{P}=\oplus_{\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*}\underline{P}_\zeta$, $\underline{U}_P=\oplus_{\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*}\underline{U}_{P,\zeta}$ where $\underline{P}_\zeta=\underline{P}\cap\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$, $\underline{U}_{P,\zeta}=\underline{U}_P\cap\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$.

Let $\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*$. Assume that ϑ is inner. Let X be a K-orbit in the variety of ϑ-stable parabolic subgroups of G (or equivalently a connected component of that variety). Note that X is a projective variety. Let $\tilde X_\zeta=\{(x,P);P\in X,x\in\underline{P}_\zeta\}$, $\tilde X'_\zeta=\{(x,P);P\in X,x\in\underline{U}_{P,\zeta}\}$. Define $\pi_\zeta:\tilde X_\zeta\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$, $\pi'_\zeta:\tilde X'_\zeta\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ by $(x,P)\mapsto x$. We show:

(a)
$$\mathfrak{F}(\pi_{\zeta!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l))=\pi'_{\zeta^{-1}!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l))[?].$$

Here we view $\mathfrak{F}$ as a functor $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_\zeta)\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}})$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde X_\zeta \arrow[d, "\pi_\zeta"'] & \Xi \arrow[l, "b"'] \arrow[r, "c"] \arrow[d, "r"'] & \bar\Xi \arrow[d, "d"'] \\
\mathfrak{g}_\zeta & \mathfrak{g}_\zeta\times\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}} \arrow[l, "s"'] \arrow[r, "t"] & \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$\Xi=\{(x,x',P);P\in X,x\in\underline{P}_\zeta,x'\in\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}\}$, $\bar\Xi=\{(x',P);x'\in\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}},P\in X\}$, s,t are the obvious projections and b,c,r,d are the obvious maps. Let $\tilde t=tr:\Xi\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$. Let $\kappa':\Xi\longrightarrow\mathbf{k}$ be $(x,x',P)\mapsto\kappa_\zeta(x,x')$. We have

$$\mathfrak{F}(\pi_{\zeta!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l))=t_!(r_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)\otimes\mathcal{L}^{\kappa_\zeta})[?]=\tilde t_!(\mathcal{L}^{\kappa'})[?]=d_!c_!(\mathcal{L}^{\kappa'})[?].$$

We have a partition $\Xi=\Xi_0\cup\Xi_1$ where Ξ_0 is defined by the condition that $x'\in\underline{U}_P$. Let $c_1:\Xi_1\longrightarrow\bar\Xi$ be the restriction of c. We show that

(b)
$$c_{1!}(\mathcal{L}^{\kappa'})=0.$$

The fibre of c_1 at (x', P) is $\underline{P}_\zeta$. The restriction of κ' to this fibre is the linear map $x \mapsto \kappa(x, x')$. It is enough to show that this linear map is not identically zero. (Assume that $\kappa(x, x') = 0$ for any $x \in \underline{P}_\zeta$ that is, $x' \in (\underline{P}_\zeta)^\perp$. Since $x' \in \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ we have automatically $x' \in (\underline{P}_{\zeta'})^\perp$ for $\zeta' \neq \zeta$ hence $x' \in \underline{P}^\perp$. Hence $x' \in \underline{U}_P$ a contradiction.) This proves (b).

From (b) we deduce

$$d_! c_! (\mathcal{L}^{\kappa'}) = d_! c_! j_! j^* \mathcal{L}^{\kappa'} = t_{0!}(\mathcal{L}^{\kappa'}|_{\Xi_0})$$

where $j : \Xi_0 \to \Xi$ is the inclusion and $t_0 : \Xi_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ is $(x, x', P) \mapsto x'$. Now t_0 is a composition $\Xi_0 \to \tilde{X}'_{\zeta^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\pi'_{\zeta^{-1}}} \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ (the first map, $(x, x', P) \mapsto (x', P)$, is an affine bundle whose fibre at (x', P) is isomorphic to $\underline{P}_\zeta$, a vector space of constant dimension as P runs through X which is a K-orbit.) If $(x, x', P) \in \Xi_0$ then $\kappa'(x, x', P) = \kappa(x, x')$. This is zero since $x \in \underline{P}_\zeta$ and $x' \in \underline{U}_P$. Thus $t_{0!}(\mathcal{L}^{\kappa'}|_{\Xi_0}) = t_{0!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = \pi'_{\zeta^{-1}!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[?]$ and (a) follows.

3.2. We preserve the setup of 3.1 and assume that ϑ is inner. Let $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}^*$. A ϑ-stable parabolic subgroup P of G is said to be *ζ-tight* if $\underline{P}_\zeta = \underline{U}_{P,\zeta}$. In this case, P is also ζ^{-1}-tight; indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\underline{U}_{P,\zeta^{-1}} = \underline{P}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}; \kappa(x, \underline{P}_\zeta) = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}; \kappa(x, \underline{U}_{P,\zeta}) = 0\} = \underline{U}_P^\perp \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}} = \underline{P}_{\zeta^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let

(a) X be a K-orbit on the variety of ϑ-stable parabolic subgroups of G such that some (or equivalently, any) $P \in X$ is ζ-tight.

In this case we have $\tilde{X}_\zeta = \tilde{X}'_\zeta$, $\tilde{X}_{\zeta^{-1}} = \tilde{X}'_{\zeta^{-1}}$ hence $\pi_{\zeta!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = \pi'_{\zeta!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$, $\pi_{\zeta^{-1}!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = \pi'_{\zeta^{-1}!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$. Combining this with 3.1(a) we obtain

(b) $$\mathfrak{F}(\pi_{\zeta!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)) = \mathfrak{F}(\pi'_{\zeta!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)) = \pi'_{\zeta^{-1}!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[?] = \pi_{\zeta^{-1}!}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[?].$$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the variety of Borel subgroups of G. We show:

(c) *if $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $\vartheta(B) = B$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}^* - \{1\}$ then B is ζ-tight.*

Let $\mathfrak{n} = \underline{U}_B$. Now ϑ acts naturally on $\underline{B}, \mathfrak{n}, \underline{B}/\mathfrak{n}$ and we have an obvious direct sum decomposition $\underline{B}/\mathfrak{n} = \oplus_{\zeta' \in \mathbf{k}^*} (\underline{B}/\mathfrak{n})_{\zeta'}$; moreover, $(\underline{B}/\mathfrak{n})_{\zeta'}$ is the image of $\underline{B}_{\zeta'}$ under $\underline{B} \to \underline{B}/\mathfrak{n}$. We can find a semisimple element $g_0 \in G$ such that $\vartheta = \mathrm{Ad}(g_0)$. Since $\vartheta(B) = B$ we have $g_0 \in B$. Hence the centralizer of g_0 in B contains a maximal torus of B. Hence $\underline{B}_1$ contains a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\underline{B}$. The image of $\mathfrak{h}$ under $\underline{B} \to \underline{B}/\mathfrak{n}$ is on the one hand equal to $\underline{B}/\mathfrak{n}$ and on the other hand is contained in $(\underline{B}/\mathfrak{n})_1$. Thus $\underline{B}/\mathfrak{n} = (\underline{B}/\mathfrak{n})_1$. It follows that $(\underline{B}/\mathfrak{n})_{\zeta'} = 0$ for any $\zeta' \neq 1$. In particular $(\underline{B}/\mathfrak{n})_\zeta = 0$. Hence the image of $\underline{B}_\zeta$ under $\underline{B} \to \underline{B}/\mathfrak{n}$ is 0. In other words, $\underline{B}_\zeta \subset \mathfrak{n}$ and (c) follows.

From (c) we see that any K-orbit X on $\mathcal{B}^\vartheta$ (the variety of ϑ-stable Borel subgroups of G) is as in (a) hence (b) is applicable to it.

3.3. We preserve the setup of 3.1 and assume that ϑ is inner. Let $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}^* - \{1\}$. We define a collection $\mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$ of simple perverse sheaves on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ as follows. A simple perverse sheaf A on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ is said to be in $\mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$ if there exists a K-orbit X on $\mathcal{B}^\vartheta$ such that some shift of A is a direct summand of $\pi_{\zeta!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ where $\pi_\zeta : \tilde{X}_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ is defined in terms of X as in 3.1. Note that any object A of $\mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$ is K-equivariant and $\operatorname{supp}(A)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$, the variety of nilpotent elements of $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ (we use 3.2(b)).

Note that if X is as in 3.2(a) and $\pi_\zeta : \tilde{X}_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ is defined in terms of X as in 3.1 then by the decomposition theorem, $\pi_{\zeta!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \cong \oplus_h A_h[d_h]$ where A_h are simple perverse sheaves on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ and $d_h \in \mathbf{Z}$. We show that

(a) $A_h \in \mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$ for any h.

Let X' be the variety of all $B \in \mathcal{B}^\vartheta$ such that B is contained in some (necessarily unique) $P \in X$. Define $j : X' \longrightarrow X$ by $B \mapsto P$. Note that X' is a union of (finitely many) K-orbits $X'_1, \dots, X'_s$ on $\mathcal{B}^\vartheta$. Let $Y_i = \{(x,B); B \in X'_i; x \in \underline{B}_\zeta\}$; define $\rho_i : Y_i \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ by $(x,B) \mapsto x$. Let $Y = \{(x,B); B \in X'; x \in \underline{B}_\zeta\}$; define $\rho : Y \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ by $(x,B) \mapsto x$. We have $Y = \sqcup_i Y_i$ hence $\rho_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = \oplus_i \rho_{i!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Define $\sigma : Y \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_\zeta$ by $\sigma(x,B) = (x, j(B))$. For $(x,P) \in \tilde{X}_\zeta$ we can identify $\sigma^{-1}(x,P)$ with $\{B \in \mathcal{B}^\vartheta; B \subset P\}$ (if $B \in \mathcal{B}^\vartheta$, $B \subset P$ we have automatically $x \in \underline{B}_\zeta$; indeed we have $x \in \underline{P}_\zeta = \underline{U}_{P,\zeta} \subset \underline{U}_{B,\zeta} \subset \underline{B}_\zeta$). We see that σ is a locally trivial fibration whose fibres are finite unions of flag manifolds hence $\sigma_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \cong \oplus_j \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[2c_j]$ where c_j are integers. We have $\rho = \pi_\zeta\sigma$ hence $\rho_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = \oplus_j \pi_{\zeta!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[2c_j]$. Hence $A_h[?]$ is a direct summand of $\rho_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Hence $A_h[?]$ is a direct summand of $\rho_{i!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ for some i. Thus (a) holds.

Let $A \in \mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$. It is known [V,§2, Prop.2] that $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$ is a union of finitely many K-orbits. It follows that $A = IC(\bar{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{E})_{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}[?]$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is a K-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$, $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ is the closure of $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is an irreducible K-equivariant local system on $\mathcal{O}$. Thus A is an orbital complex on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ with unipotent support.

From 3.2(b) we see that $\mathfrak{F}$ defines a bijection from $\mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$ (up to isomorphism) to $\mathfrak{Q}'_{\zeta^{-1}}$ (up to isomorphism). We see that

(b) *any object of* $\mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$ *is biorbital. Moreover* $\mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta \subset \mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ *(see 0.6).*

We show:

(c) $\mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$ *is nonempty. Hence* $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ *is nonempty. More precisely, there exists a* K*-orbit* $\mathcal{O}$ *in* $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$ *such that* $IC(\bar{\mathcal{O}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}[?] \in \mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$ *where* $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ *is viewed as a local system on* $\mathcal{O}$.

It is well known that $\mathcal{B}^\vartheta \neq \emptyset$. Let X be a K-orbit on $\mathcal{B}^\vartheta$. Define $\pi_\zeta : \tilde{X}_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ as in 3.1 in terms of X. Note that $\tilde{X}_\zeta$ is vector bundle over X (whose fibre over $B \in X$ is $\underline{B}_\zeta$); in particular $\tilde{X}_\zeta$ is smooth irreducible. Hence $\pi_\zeta(\tilde{X}_\zeta)$ is an irreducible subvariety of $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$ (we use 3.2(b)). There is a unique K-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$ such that $\mathcal{O}$ is open in $\pi_\zeta(\tilde{X}_\zeta)$. Let $n = \dim \pi_\zeta^{-1}(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{O}$ (note that $\pi_\zeta^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}$). For $x \in \mathcal{O}$ let S_x be the set of irreducible components of dimension n of $\pi_\zeta^{-1}(x)$. We have a finite covering $\tau : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ whose fibre at $x \in \mathcal{O}$ is S_x. Note that the 2δ-th cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $(\pi_{\zeta!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)|_{\mathcal{O}}$ may be identified with $\tau_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ (we ignore Tate twists). Hence it contains $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ as a direct summand. Since $(\pi_{\zeta!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)|_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a direct sum of shifts of irreducible local systems on $\mathcal{O}$ it follows that some shift of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is a direct summand of $(\pi_{\zeta!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)|_{\mathcal{O}}$. By the decomposition theorem, $\pi_{\zeta!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is a direct sum of shifts of irreducible perverse sheaves with support contained in the closure $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ of $\mathcal{O}$. Hence some shift of $IC(\bar{\mathcal{O}},\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}$ is a direct summand of $\pi_{\zeta!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. This proves (c).

From (b), (c) we deduce:

(d) *there exists a K-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$ such that $IC(\bar{\mathcal{O}},\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}[?]$ belongs to $\mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$. Here $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is viewed as a local system on $\mathcal{O}$.*

Let $\mathbf{V}'_\zeta$ be the $\mathbf{Q}(v)$-vector space with basis given by the isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$. (v is an indeterminate). For any K-orbit X on $\mathcal{B}^\vartheta$ we set $[X]=\sum_{A,j} n_{A,j}v^j \in \mathbf{V}'_\zeta$ (sum over $A\in\mathfrak{Q}'_\zeta$ up to isomorphism and $j\in\mathbf{Z}$) where $\pi_{\zeta!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \cong \oplus_{A,j}A[j]$ ($\pi_\zeta:\tilde{X}_\zeta\to\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ is defined in terms of X as in 3.1). We conjecture that:

(e) *the elements $[X]$ (for various K-orbits X on $\mathcal{B}^\vartheta$) generate the vector space $\mathbf{V}'_\zeta$.*

This is known to be true in the case arising from a cyclic quiver (see [L2]) and also in the case where ζ has large order in $\mathbf{k}^*$ (see [L5]).

3.4. We preserve the setup of 0.3 and assume that ϑ is inner. Let $\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*-\{1\}$. The following result is analogous to 3.3(d).

(a) *There exists a nonzero function $f:\mathfrak{g}_\zeta(\mathbf{F}_q)\to\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ (which is constant on each orbit of $K(\mathbf{F}_q)$) such that f vanishes on any non-nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta(\mathbf{F}_q)$ and $\hat{f}:\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}(\mathbf{F}_q)\to\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ vanishes on any non-nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}(\mathbf{F}_q)$.*

From the assumptions in 0.3, $\mathcal{B}^\vartheta$ has at least one $\mathbf{F}_q$-rational point. Hence it has some irreducible component X which is defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$. We have $X(\mathbf{F}_q)\ne\emptyset$. The morphism $\pi_\zeta:\tilde{X}_\zeta\to\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ in 3.1 restricts to a map $t:\tilde{X}_\zeta(\mathbf{F}_q)\to\mathfrak{g}_\zeta(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Define $f_\zeta:\mathfrak{g}_\zeta(\mathbf{F}_q)\to\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ by $f_\zeta(x)=\sharp(t^{-1}(x))$. We have $f_\zeta(0)=\sharp(X(\mathbf{F}_q))\ne0$. Thus $f_\zeta\ne0$. From 3.2(b) we deduce $\hat{f_\zeta}=cf_{\zeta^{-1}}$ where $c\in\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$ and that f_ζ vanishes on any non-nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta(\mathbf{F}_q)$; similarly, $f_{\zeta^{-1}}$ vanishes on any non-nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Hence $\hat{f_\zeta}$ vanishes on any non-nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Clearly, f_ζ is constant on each orbit of $K(\mathbf{F}_q)$. This proves (a).

3.5. Assume that $2\ne0$ in $\mathbf{k}$. Let V be a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space of finite dimension $N=2n\ge4$ with a given nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(,):V\times V\to\mathbf{k}$. Let K be the corresponding special orthogonal group acting on V in an obvious way. For any $\lambda\in\mathbf{k}$ let $Q_\lambda=\{x\in V;(x,x)/2=\lambda\}$. Note that if $\lambda\ne0$, then Q_λ is a single K-orbit in V; moreover $Q_0-\{0\}$ is a single K-orbit in V. Also the isotropy group in K of any point in V is connected. For $\lambda\in\mathbf{k}^*$ let $\mathcal{K}_\lambda=IC(Q_\lambda,\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_V\in\mathcal{D}(V)$. We set $\mathcal{K}_0=IC(Q_0,\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_V\in\mathcal{D}(V)$ where $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is viewed as a local system on $Q_0-\{0\}$. We set $\mathcal{K}'_0=IC(\{0\},\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_V\in\mathcal{D}(V)$. Let $V_*=V-Q_0$, an open subset of V. For $\lambda\in\mathbf{k}^*$ we define $\alpha_\lambda:V_*\to\mathbf{k}^*$ by $\alpha_\lambda(x)=\lambda(x,x)/2$. We identify V with its dual via $(,)$. Hence $\mathfrak{F}:\mathcal{D}(V)\to\mathcal{D}(V)$

is well defined. The following result describes the antiorbital complexes on V.

(i) $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_\lambda) = IC(V, \alpha_\lambda^* \mathfrak{K}^2)[?]$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$;

(ii) $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_0) = \mathcal{K}_0[?]$;

(iii) $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}'_0) = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[?]$.

Now (iii) is obvious and (ii) is proved in [L4]. We prove (i). It is enough to check this at the level of functions on the set of rational points of V over a finite field. We set $k = \mathbf{F}_q$. Let U be a k-vector space of dimension $N = 2n \geq 4$ with a fixed nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(,) : U \times U \to k$ which is split over k. Let $\lambda \in k^*$. Define $f : U \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ by $f(x) = 1$ if $(x,x)/2 = \lambda$, $f(x) = 0$ if $(x,x)/2 \neq \lambda$. By 0.1, $\hat{f} : U \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is given by $\hat{f}(x) = q^{-n} \sum_{y \in U; (y,y)=2\lambda} \psi(x,y)$ for $x \in U$. We compute $\hat{f}(x)$ assuming that $(x,x)/2 = \lambda' \neq 0$. We can find a 2-dimensional subspace P of U such that $x \in P$ and $(,)$ is nondegenerate, split on P. Let $P' = \{z \in U; (z,P) = 0\}$. Note that $(,)$ is nondegenerate split on P'. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(x) &= q^{-n} \sum_{p \in P, p' \in P'; (p,p)+(p',p')=2\lambda} \psi(x,p) \\
&= q^{-n} \sum_{p \in P} \sharp(p' \in P'; (p',p') = 2\lambda - (p,p))\psi(x,p) \\
&= q^{-n}\Big(\sum_{p \in P; (p,p)=2\lambda} ((q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} + 1) + 1)\psi(x,p) \\
&+ \sum_{p \in P; (p,p) \neq 2\lambda} q^{n-1}(q^n - 1)\psi(x,p)\Big) \\
&= \sum_{p \in P; (p,p)=2\lambda} \psi(x,p) + q^{-1}(q^n - 1) \sum_{p \in P} \psi(x,p).
\end{aligned}$$

The last sum is 0 since $p \mapsto \psi(x,p)$ is a non-trivial character $P \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$. Thus $\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{p \in P; (p,p)=2\lambda} \psi(x,p)$. We pick a basis e_1, e_2 of P such that $(e_1, e_2) = 1$, $(e_i, e_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. We set $x = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2$ where $x_i \in k$, $x_1 x_2 = \lambda'$. We set $p = p_1 e_1 + p_2 e_2$ where $p_i \in k$, $p_1 p_2 = \lambda$. We have

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{p_1, p_2 \in k^*; p_1 p_2 = \lambda} \psi(x_1 p_1 + x_2 p_2) = \sum_{p'_1, p'_2 \in k^*; p'_1 p'_2 = \lambda\lambda'} \psi(p'_1 + p'_2).$$

This identity (and the analogous identities where q is replaced by a power of q) implies (i).

We now give an alternative proof of (ii) at the level of functions on U. For any n-dimensional isotropic subspace L of U we consider the function $f_L : U \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ which takes the constant value 1 on L and is 0 on $U - L$. It is clear that $\hat{f}_L = f_L$. Let $f = c^{-1} \sum_L f_L$ where L runs over all n-dimensional isotropic subspaces of U and $c = 2(q + 1)(q^2 + 1) \dots (q^{n-2} + 1)$. Clearly, $f(x) = 0$ if $(x,x) \neq 0$, $f(x) = 1$

if $(x,x)=0$, $x\ne 0$, $f(x)=1+q^{n-1}$ if $x=0$. We have $\hat{f}=f$. This gives the required identity.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a K-equivariant simple perverse sheaf on V. From (i),(ii),(iii) above we see that the following three conditions on $\mathcal{K}$ are equivalent:

(I) both $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}))$ are contained in $\{x\in V;(x,x)=0\}$;

(II) $\mathcal{K}$ is biorbital;

(III) $\mathcal{K}\cong\mathcal{K}_0[?]$.

3.6. Let V be a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space of dimension $2n+2\ge 6$ with a fixed nondegenerate symplectic form $\langle,\rangle:V\times V\to\mathbf{k}$ and with a fixed grading $V=V_0\oplus V_1$ such that $\langle V_0,V_1\rangle=0$ and such that $\dim V_0=2,\dim V_1=2n$. Let $\mathfrak{sp}(V)=\{T\in\mathrm{End}(V);\langle T(x),y\rangle+\langle x,T(y)\rangle=0\quad\forall x,y\in V\}$. Let

$$E=\{T\in\mathfrak{sp}(V);TV_0\subset V_1,TV_1\subset V_0\}.$$

Note that $E=\mathfrak{sp}_{-1}$ where $\mathfrak{sp}_{\pm1}$ are the ±1 eigenspaces of an involution of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ (induced by an involution of $Sp(V)$ whose fixed point set is $K=Sp(V_0)\times Sp(V_1)$ which acts naturally on E). Hence the notion of antiorbital complex on E is well defined (a special case of 0.6). (In our case the function κ_ζ is the restriction to $E\times E$ of the symmetric bilinear form $T,T'\mapsto\mathrm{tr}(TT')$ on $\mathrm{End}(V)$.) The variety of nilpotent elements in E decomposes into a union of three K-orbits $\{0\},\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}'$ represented by $0,N,N'$ where $(V,N)\cong(V_{1,3},T_1)^{\oplus2}\oplus(V_{1,1},T_1)^{\oplus(2n-4)}$, $(V,N')\cong(V_{0,1},T_1)\oplus(V_{1,0},T_1)\oplus(V_{1,1},T_1)^{\oplus(2n-2)}$ (as objects of $\mathcal{C}^1$ that is without a symplectic form). Note that the isotropy groups of $0,N,N'$ in K are connected. Let $\mathcal{K}_0=IC(\{0\},\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_E[?]$, $\mathcal{K}=IC(\bar{\mathcal{O}},\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_E[?]$, $\mathcal{K}=IC(\bar{\mathcal{O}}',\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_E[?]$ be the corresponding orbital complexes on E. We have the following result.

(a) $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_0)=IC(E,\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[?]$, $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})\cong\mathcal{K}$, $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}')\cong\mathcal{K}'$. *In particular, $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}'$ are biorbital.*

The first equality in (a) is obvious. Now let X be the set of all (T,W) where $T\in E$ and W is an n-dimensional isotropic subspace of V_1 such that $T(V_0)\subset W\subset\ker(T)$. Let X' be the set of all (T,W') where $T\in E$ and W' is a line of V_0 such that $T(V_1)\subset W'\subset\ker(T)$. Note that X,X' are smooth varieties and the obvious projections $\rho:X\to E$, $\rho':X'\to E$ are proper maps. If $T\in\rho(X)$ then clearly $T^3=0$ so that T is nilpotent. Similarly if $T\in\rho'(X')$ then $T^3=0$ so that T is nilpotent; actually in this case we have $T^2=0$. (It is enough to show that $T^2V_0=0$ or that $T^2x=0,T^2x'=0$ where x,x' is a basis of V_0 such that $\langle x,x'\rangle=1$. Clearly, $Tx=0$, $T^2x'=cx$ where $c\in\mathbf{k}$. We have $c=\langle cx,x'\rangle=\langle T^2x',x'\rangle=-\langle Tx',Tx'\rangle=0$. Thus $c=0$ and $T^2x'=0$.) Note that $\rho^{-1}(N)$ can be identified with the variety of all n-dimensional isotropic subspaces W of V_1 such that W contains $N(V_0)$ (a 2-dimensional isotropic subspace of V_1) and is contained in $\ker(N)\cap V_1$ (a codimension 2 subspace of V_1 equal to the perpendicular of $N(V_0)$). Thus ρ restricts to a map $\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{O})\to\mathcal{O}$ which is a (locally trivial) fibre bundle whose fibre is isomorphic to the space of Lagrangian subspaces of a $(2n-4)$ dimensional symplectic vector space. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is open in the nilpotent variety of E we see (using the decomposition theorem) that $\rho_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of complexes of the form $\mathcal{K}[?]$ (at least one) and of some complexes of the form $\mathcal{K}'[?]$ or $\mathcal{K}_0[?]$.

Next we note that $\rho'^{-1}(N')$ is a single point of X' namely (N', W') where $W' = N'(V_1)$; more precisely, the restriction of ρ' from $\rho'^{-1}(\mathcal{O}')$ to $\mathcal{O}'$ is an isomorphism. Since $\rho'(X') \subset \mathcal{O}' \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$ is open in $\mathcal{O}' \cup \{0\}$ we see (using the decomposition theorem) that $\rho'_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}'[?]$ direct sum with some complexes of the form $\mathcal{K}_0[?]$.

Let W be an n-dimensional isotropic subspace of V_1 and let W' be a line in V_0. Let

$\mathfrak{p} = \{T \in \mathfrak{sp}(V); TW \subset W\}$, $\mathfrak{p}' = \{T \in \mathfrak{sp}(V); TW' \subset W'\}$,

$\mathfrak{n} = \{T \in \mathfrak{sp}(V); TV \subset W^\perp, TW^\perp \subset W, TW = 0\}$, $\mathfrak{n}' = \{T \in \mathfrak{sp}(V); TV \subset W'^\perp, TW'^\perp \subset W', TW' = 0\}$.

Here $W^\perp, W'^\perp$ denote the perpendicular to W, W' with respect to $\langle , \rangle$. Note that $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}'$ are parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ with nil-radicals $\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}'$. Moreover we have $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{-1}, \mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{p}'_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}'_{-1}$, $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{-1}$, $\mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{n}'_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}'_{-1}$ where $()_i = () \cap \mathfrak{sp}_i$. We show that $\mathfrak{p}_{-1} = \mathfrak{n}_{-1}$, $\mathfrak{p}'_{-1} = \mathfrak{n}'_{-1}$ (that is, the parabolic subgroups of $Sp(V)$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}'$ are (-1)tight). Let $T \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}$. We have $TW \subset V_0 \cap W = 0$ so that $TW = 0$. It follows that $TV \subset W^\perp$. We have $W^\perp = V_0 \oplus W$ hence $TW^\perp = TV_0 + TW = TV_0 \subset W^\perp \cap V_1 = W$. We see that $\mathfrak{p}_{-1} = \mathfrak{n}_{-1}$. A similar proof shows that $\mathfrak{p}'_{-1} = \mathfrak{n}'_{-1}$. Now $\rho_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = \tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_{-1}}^{\mathfrak{sp}_{-1}}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, $\rho'_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = \tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}'_{-1}}^{\mathfrak{sp}_{-1}}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ (see A.1). Here $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is viewed as a complex on $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}/\mathfrak{n}_{-1} = 0$ or $\mathfrak{p}'_{-1}/\mathfrak{n}'_{-1} = 0$. From Theorem A.2 (or from 3.2(b)) we see that $\mathfrak{F}(\rho_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = \rho_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[?]$, $\mathfrak{F}(\rho'_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = \rho'_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[?]$.

It follows that $\mathfrak{F}(\rho'_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}'[?]$ direct sum with some complexes of the form $\mathcal{K}_0[?]$; it is also isomorphic to $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}')[?]$ direct sum with some complexes of the form $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_0)[?]$. But $\mathrm{supp}\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_0) = E$ showing that $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_0)[?]$ cannot be a direct summand of $\mathfrak{F}(\rho'_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$. It follows that $\rho'_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \cong \mathcal{K}'[?] \cong \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}')[?]$. Hence $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}') \cong \mathcal{K}'$.

We also see that $\mathfrak{F}(\rho_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of complexes $\mathcal{K}[?]$ (at least one) and complexes of the form $\mathcal{K}'[?]$ or $\mathcal{K}_0[?]$; it is also isomorphic to a direct sum of complexes $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})[?]$ (at least one) and complexes of the form $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}')[?] = \mathcal{K}'[?]$ or $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_0)[?]$. Again $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}_0)[?]$ cannot be a direct summand of $\mathfrak{F}(\rho_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$. It follows that $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}$ or to $\mathcal{K}'$. If $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathcal{K}'$ then $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}')$ hence $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{K}'$ which is not the case. Hence we have $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathcal{K}$. This proves (a).

3.7. Let V be a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space of dimension $2n$ with a fixed nondegenerate symplectic form $\langle , \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbf{k}$. Let

$$E = \{T \in \mathrm{End}(V); \langle T(x), y\rangle = \langle x, T(y)\rangle \quad \forall x, y \in V\}.$$

Note that E can be viewed as the (-1) eigenspace of an involution of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ (induced by an involution of $GL(V)$ whose fixed point set is the symplectic group $Sp(V)$ which acts naturally on E). Hence the notion of antiorbital complex on E is well defined (a special case of 0.6). (In our case the function κ_ζ is the restriction to $E \times E$ of the symmetric bilinear form $T, T' \mapsto \mathrm{tr}(TT')$ on $\mathrm{End}(V)$.) Let E_0 be the set of all $T \in E$ such that $T : V \longrightarrow V$ is semisimple and any eigenspace of T is 2-dimensional. Note that E_0 is open dense in E. Using methods similar to those in §2 we see that any antiorbital complex on E is of the form $IC(E_0, \mathcal{L})[?]$ for a suitable local system $\mathcal{L}$ on E_0 (compare with [L4, §13].) It follows that, if $n > 0$, there are no biorbital complexes on E.

3.8. We preserve the setup of 3.1. Let $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}^*$. For any K-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$ let $\mathcal{O}^! = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}; x \in \mathcal{O}, [x, y] = 0\}$ where $[,]$ is the bracket in $\mathfrak{g}$. As in [L5, 22.2], we identify $\mathcal{O}^!$ with the conormal bundle of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$. Hence it is smooth, irreducible of dimension $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$. Hence $A := \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil} \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}; [x, y] = 0\}$ is a (closed) subvariety of $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ of pure dimension $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$; its irreducible components are $\overline{\mathcal{O}^!}$ (closure of $\mathcal{O}^!$) for various $\mathcal{O}$ as above. Similarly for any K-orbit $\mathcal{V}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}^{nil}$ let $\mathcal{V}^! = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}; y \in \mathcal{V}, [x, y] = 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{V}^!$ is smooth, irreducible of dimension $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$. Hence $A' := \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}^{nil}; [x, y] = 0\}$ is a (closed) subvariety of $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ of pure dimension $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$; its irreducible components are $\overline{\mathcal{V}^!}$ (closure of $\mathcal{V}^!$) for various $\mathcal{V}$ as above. Let

$$\Lambda = A \cap A' = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil} \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}^{nil}; [x, y] = 0\},$$

a (closed) subvariety of $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ of dimension $\le \dim \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$. The irreducible components of Λ of dimension $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ are of the form $\overline{\mathcal{O}^!}$ (where $\mathcal{O}$ runs through a subset H_ζ of the set of K-orbits on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta^{nil}$). They are also of the form $\overline{\mathcal{V}^!}$ (where $\mathcal{V}$ runs through a subset $H_{\zeta^{-1}}$ of the set of K-orbits on $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}^{nil}$). Hence there is a unique bijection $\iota : H_\zeta \longrightarrow H_{\zeta^{-1}}$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{O}^!} = \overline{\iota(\mathcal{O})^!}$ for any $\iota \in H_\zeta$.

Now let $\mathcal{K} \in \mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ and assume that supp$\mathcal{K} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ (closure of $\mathcal{O}$ as above), supp$\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K}) = \bar{\mathcal{V}}$ (closure of $\mathcal{V}$ as above). Let S (resp. S') be the singular support of $\mathcal{K}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{K})$); they are subvarieties of $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$. Note that $S = S'$ and $\mathcal{O}^! \subset S \subset \cup_{\mathcal{O}' \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}} \mathcal{O}'^! \subset A$, $\mathcal{V}^! \subset S' \subset \cup_{\mathcal{V}' \subset \bar{\mathcal{V}}} \mathcal{V}'^! \subset A'$ where $\mathcal{O}'$ runs over the K-orbits in $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathcal{V}'$ runs over the K-orbits in $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$. It follows that $\mathcal{O}^! \subset A'$. Since $\mathcal{O}^! \subset A$ we see that $\mathcal{O}^! \subset A \cap A' = \Lambda$. Similarly, $\mathcal{V}^! \subset \Lambda$. We see that:

(a) *if* $\mathcal{K} \in \mathfrak{Q}_\zeta$ *then* supp$(\mathcal{K}) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ *where* $\mathcal{O} \in H_\zeta$. *In particular if* $x \in \mathcal{O}$ *and* $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ *satisfies* $[x, y] = 0$ *then* y *is nilpotent.*

In the case where $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ arises as in 0.4 from a cyclic quiver, the variety Λ is the same as that defined in [L2, §12]. In this case Λ is of pure dimension. Also in this case the bijection ι can be viewed as a bijection $\mathcal{P}_\nu^{ap} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_\nu^{ap}$ (notation of 1.2). It would be interesting to describe this bijection explicitly. (It is analogous to the involution of $\mathcal{R}_t$ which takes a partition to the conjugate partition.)

If ζ has large order in $\mathbf{k}^*$ then Λ is again of pure dimension (see [L5, 22.2]).

3.9. Let G (resp. $\mathfrak{g}$) be the set of all 4×4 matrices $a = (a_{ij})$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}$ for $i, j \in [1, 4]$, $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $i > j$ and $a_{ii} = 1$ (resp. $a_{ii} = 0$) for all i. Note that G is naturally a unipotent algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the set all 4×4 matrices $b = (b_{ij})$ with $b_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}$ for $i, j \in [1, 4]$, $b_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \le j$.

We identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with the dual space of $\mathfrak{h}$ via the nondegenerate bilinear pairing $(a,b)=\sum_{i<j}a_{ij}b_{ji}\in\mathbf{k}$. Now G acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by conjugation and this induces a G-action on $\mathfrak{h}$ (by duality). Hence the orbital complexes on $\mathfrak{h}$ are well defined and the antiorbital complexes on $\mathfrak{g}$ are well defined.

Consider the partition $\mathfrak{h}=U_1\cup U_2\cup U_3\cup U_4\cup U_5$ where
$U_1=\{b;b_{31}=b_{41}=b_{42}=0\}$; $U_2=\{b;b_{31}=b_{41}=0,b_{42}\ne 0\}$;
$U_3=\{b;b_{41}=b_{42}=0,b_{31}\ne 0\}$; $U_4=\{b;b_{41}\ne 0\}$;
$U_5=\{b;b_{41}=0,b_{31}\ne 0,b_{42}\ne 0$.
For $i=1,2,3,4,5$, U_i is a union of G_n-orbits of fixed dimension: $0,2,2,4,2$.

Let
$V_1=\mathfrak{g}$, $V_2=\{a\in\mathfrak{g};a_{23}=a_{34}=0\}$, $V_3=\{a\in\mathfrak{g};a_{12}=0,a_{23}=0\}$,
$V_4=\{a\in\mathfrak{g};a_{12}=a_{34}=0\}$, $V'_4=\{a\in V_4;a_{23}\ne 0\}$,
$V_{5;x,y}=\{a\in\mathfrak{g};a_{23}=0,xa_{12}-ya_{34}=0\}$ $(x\in\mathbf{k}^*,y\in\mathbf{k}^*)$.
The class of antiorbital complexes on $\mathfrak{g}$ consists of:
(1) $\mathcal{L}^f[6]$ where $f:V_1\longrightarrow\mathbf{k}$ is $a\mapsto xa_{12}+ya_{23}+za_{34}$ $(x,y,z\in\mathbf{k})$;
(2) $\mathcal{L}^f_{\mathfrak{g}}[4]$ where $f:V_2\longrightarrow\mathbf{k}$ is $a\mapsto xa_{12}+ya_{24}$, $(x\in\mathbf{k},y\in\mathbf{k}^*)$;
(3) $\mathcal{L}^f_{\mathfrak{g}}[4]$ where $f:V_3\longrightarrow\mathbf{k}$ is $a\mapsto xa_{13}+ya_{34}$, $(x\in\mathbf{k}^*,y\in\mathbf{k})$;
(4) $IC(V_4,\mathcal{L}^f)_{\mathfrak{g}}[4]$ where $f:V'_4\longrightarrow\mathbf{k}$ is $a\mapsto xa_{23}+y(a_{14}-\frac{a_{24}a_{13}}{a_{23}})$, $(x\in\mathbf{k},y\in\mathbf{k}^*)$;
(5) $\mathcal{L}^f_{\mathfrak{g}}[4]$ where $f:V_{5,c,d}\longrightarrow\mathbf{k}$ is $a\mapsto xa_{13}+ya_{24}+zx^{-1}a_{34}$, $(z\in\mathbf{k})$.
Note that the complexes (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) are obtained by applying $\mathfrak{F}$ to the orbital complexes on $\mathfrak{h}$ with support contained in U_1,U_2,U_3,U_4,U_5 respectively.

APPENDIX. INDUCTION, RESTRICTION

A.1. Let $G,\mathfrak{g},\vartheta,K,\kappa$ be as in 0.3. We assume that the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ is sufficiently large. For $\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*$ let $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta,\kappa_\zeta$ be as in 0.3. We consider the datum

$(P,U,l,\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{l})$

where P is a parabolic subgroup of G such that $\vartheta(P)=P$, U is the unipotent radical of P, $L=P/U$ and $\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{l}$ denote the Lie algebras of P,U,L. Note that $\mathfrak{p}=\oplus_{\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*}\mathfrak{p}_\zeta$, $\mathfrak{n}=\oplus_{\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*}\mathfrak{n}_\zeta$ where $\mathfrak{p}_\zeta=\mathfrak{p}\cap\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$, $\mathfrak{n}_\zeta=\mathfrak{n}\cap\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$. Moreover ϑ induces a semisimple automorphism of L and of $\mathfrak{l}$ denoted again by ϑ and we have a decomposition $\mathfrak{l}=\oplus_{\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*}\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$ where $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$ is the ζ-eigenspace of $\vartheta:\mathfrak{l}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{l}$ (it is also equal to $\pi(\mathfrak{p}_z)$ where $\pi:\mathfrak{p}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{l}$ is the obvious map). Let L_K be the identity component of the fixed point set of $\vartheta:L\longrightarrow L$. It acts naturally on $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$ for any $\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*$.

Let $\zeta\in\mathbf{k}^*$. Let $\pi_\zeta:\mathfrak{p}_\zeta\longrightarrow\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$ be the restriction of π. Let $U_K=U\cap K$ (a connected unipotent group), $P_K=(P\cap K)^0$. Let $E'_\zeta=K\times_{U_K}\mathfrak{p}_\zeta$, $E''_\zeta=K\times_{P_K}\mathfrak{p}_\zeta$. we have a diagram

$$\mathfrak{l}_\zeta\xleftarrow{p_1^\zeta}E'_\zeta\xrightarrow{p_2^\zeta}E''_\zeta\xrightarrow{p_3^\zeta}\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$$

where $p_i=p_i\zeta$ are given by $p_1(g,x)=\pi_\zeta(x)$, $p_2(g,x)=(g,x)$, $p_3(g,x)=\mathrm{Ad}(g)(x)$. Note that p_1 is smooth with connected fibres, p_2 is a principal bundle with group $P_K/U_K=L_K$ and p_3 is a proper map. Let A be a semisimple

L_K-equivariant complex on $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$. Then p_1^*A is a semisimple L_K-equivariant complex on E'_ζ. Hence $p_1^*A = p_2^*A'$ for a well defined semisimple complex A' on E''_ζ. We set

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A) = p_{3!}A' \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_\zeta),$$

$$\tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A)[\dim \mathfrak{n}_0 + \dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta].$$

The following result is of the same type as [L1, 7(a)], [L2, Theorem 5.4], [L5, Cor.10.5], [H, Theorem 4.1]. The proof we give is almost a word by word repetition of that of Theorem 5.4 in [L2].

Theorem A.2. *We preserve the setup of A.1. We have*

$$\mathfrak{F}(\tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A)) \cong \tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}}(\mathfrak{F}(A)).$$

We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_a & X_b \arrow[l, "u_{ba}"'] \arrow[r, "u_{bc}"] & X_c \arrow[r, "u_{cd}"] & X_d \\
 & X_e \arrow[u, "u_{eb}"] \arrow[r, "u_{ef}"] & X_f \arrow[u, "u_{fc}"] \arrow[r, "u_{fg}"] & X_g \arrow[u, "u_{gd}"] \arrow[ddd, "u_{gp}"] \\
 & X_h \arrow[u, "u_{he}"] \arrow[r, "u_{hi}"] \arrow[d, "u_{hk}"] & X_i \arrow[u, "u_{if}"] \arrow[d, "u_{il}"] & \\
X_j \arrow[uuu, "u_{ja}"] \arrow[d, "u_{jm}"] & X_k \arrow[l, "u_{kj}"'] \arrow[r, "u_{kl}"] \arrow[d, "u_{kn}"] & X_l \arrow[d, "u_{lo}"] & \\
X_m & X_n \arrow[l, "u_{mn}"'] \arrow[r, "u_{no}"] & X_o \arrow[r, "u_{op}"] & X_p
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the notation is as follows.

$X_a = \mathfrak{l}_\zeta$, $X_c = E''_\zeta$, $X_b = E'_\zeta$, $X_d = \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$.

$X_m = \mathfrak{l}_{\zeta^{-1}}$, $X_o = E''_{\zeta^{-1}}$, $X_n = E'_{\zeta^{-1}}$, $X_p = \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$.

$X_g = \mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$, $X_f = K \times_{P_K} (\mathfrak{p}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}})$, $X_e = K \times_{U_K} (\mathfrak{p}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}})$.

$X_i = K \times_{P_K} (\mathfrak{p}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}})$, $X_h = K \times_{U_K} (\mathfrak{p}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}})$.

$X_j = \mathfrak{l}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{l}_{\zeta^{-1}}$, $X_k = K \times_{U_K} (\mathfrak{l}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}})$, $X_l = K \times_{P_K} (\mathfrak{l}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}})$.

$u_{ba} = p_1^\zeta, u_{bc} = p_2^\zeta, u_{cd} = p_3^\zeta$, $u_{mn} = p_1^{\zeta^{-1}}, u_{no} = p_2^{\zeta^{-1}}, u_{op} = p_3^{\zeta^{-1}}$.

u_{fg} is $(g, x, x') \mapsto (\mathrm{Ad}(g)x, Ad(g)x')$, u_{kj} is $(g, x, x') \mapsto (x, \pi_{\zeta^{-1}}(x'))$.

$u_{ef}, u_{hi}, u_{kl}, u_{eb}, u_{fc}, u_{gd}, u_{ja}, u_{he}, u_{if}, u_{hk}, u_{il}, u_{gp}, u_{kn}, u_{lo}, u_{jm}$ are the obvious maps.

Note that $\kappa : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbf{k}$ restricted to $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$ induces a function $\mathfrak{l} \times \mathfrak{l} \to \mathbf{k}$ which is analogous to κ. Hence we can define local systems of rank 1 on

$X_j, X_k, X_h, X_l, X_i, X_e, X_f, X_g$ (equal to $\mathcal{L}^{\kappa_\zeta}$ in the case of X_g) which correspond to each other under inverse image by

$$u_{fg}, u_{ef}, u_{he}, u_{if}, u_{hi}, u_{kj}, u_{hk}, u_{kl}, u_{il}.$$

We shall denote each of these local systems by $\mathcal{L}$.

Let $L_a = A \in \mathcal{D}(X_a)$. Let $L_b = u_{ba}^* L_a \in \mathcal{D}(X_b)$; let $L_c \in \mathcal{D}(X_c)$ be the unique semisimple complex such that $u_{bc}^* L_c = L_b$ and let $L_d = u_{cd!} L_c \in \mathcal{D}(X_d)$. Let $L_g = u_{gd}^* L_d \in \mathcal{D}(X_g)$ and let $L_p = u_{gp}!(L_g \otimes \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{D}(X_p)$. By definition we have $\mathfrak{F}(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A)) = L_p[D]$ where $D = \dim \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$. Now let $L_j = u_{ja}^* L_a \in \mathcal{D}(X_j)$ and $L_m = u_{jm!}(L_j \otimes \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{D}(X_m)$. This is a semisimple, L_K-equivariant complex (it is $\mathfrak{F}(A)[-\dim \mathfrak{l}_\zeta]$). Let $L_n = u_{nm}^* L_m \in \mathcal{D}(X_n)$ and let $L_o \in \mathcal{D}(X_o)$ be the unique semisimple complex such that $u_{no}^* L_o = L_n$. Let $L'_p = u_{op!} L_o \in \mathcal{D}(X_p)$. By definition we have $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}}(\mathfrak{F}(A)) = L'_p[\dim \mathfrak{l}_\zeta]$. Hence it suffices to prove that

$$L_p \cong L'_p[\dim \mathfrak{l}_\zeta - \dim \mathfrak{g}_\zeta + \dim \mathfrak{n}_{\zeta^{-1}} - \dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta]. \tag{a}$$

Let $L_e = u_{eb}^* L_b \in \mathcal{D}(X_e)$, $L_f = u_{fc}^* L_c \in \mathcal{D}(X_f)$. Then L_f is a semisimple complex (since L_c is semisimple and u_{fc} is smooth with connected fibres) and $L_e = u_{ef}^* L_f$. Moreover, $u_{fg!} L_f = L_g$ (since the diagram $u_{fc}, u_{cd}, u_{fg}, u_{gd}$ is cartesian). Hence we may go from L_a to L_p by the chain $L_e = (u_{ba} u_{eb})^* L_a$, $L_e = u_{ef}^* L_f$ (L_f semisimple), $L_p = (u_{gp} u_{fg})_!(L_f \otimes \mathcal{L})$. Similarly, we may go from L_a to L'_p by the chain $L_k = (u_{ja} u_{kj})^* L_a \in \mathcal{D}(X_k)$, $L_k = u_{kl}^* L_l$ ($L_l \in \mathcal{D}(X_l)$ semisimple), $L'_p = (u_{op} u_{lo})_!(L_l \otimes \mathcal{L})$.

Let $L_h = u_{hk}^* L_k \in \mathcal{D}(X_h)$, $L_i = u_{il}^* L_l \in \mathcal{D}(X_i)$. Note that u_{il} is a vector bundle with fibres of dimension $\dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta$. It follows that L_i is semisimple (recall that L_l is semisimple) and that $u_{il!} L_i = L_l[-2 \dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta]$. Hence we have $(u_{op} u_{lo} u_{il})_!(L_i \otimes \mathcal{L}) = L'_p[-2 \dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta]$. We have $\dim \mathfrak{n}_{\zeta^{-1}} - \dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta = \mathfrak{g}_\zeta - \mathfrak{l}_\zeta - 2 \dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta$. Hence it is enough to prove that

$$L_p \cong L'_p[-2 \dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta]. \tag{a1}$$

We have $u_{hi}^* L_i = L_h$ and it follows that we may go from L_a to L'_p by the chain $L_h = (u_{ja} u_{kj} u_{hk})^* L_a$, $L_h = u_{hi}^* L_i$ ($L_i \in \mathcal{D}(X_i)$ semisimple), $L'_p[-2 \dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta] = (u_{op} u_{lo} u_{il})_!(L_i \otimes \mathcal{L})$.

Since L_c is semisimple and $u_{fc} u_{if}$ a vector bundle we see that $u_{if}^* L_f = (u_{fc} u_{if})^* L_c$ is semisimple. Now both $u_{if}^* L_f$ and L_i are semisimple and they have the same inverse image L_h under u_{hi} (a smooth morphism with connected fibres). It follows that $u_{if}^* L_f \cong L_i$. Since $u_{op} u_{lo} u_{il} = u_{gp} u_{fg} u_{if}$ we see that $L'_p[-2 \dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta] = (u_{gp} u_{fg} u_{if})_!(u_{if}^*(L_f \otimes \mathcal{L}))$. We now see that (a1) would be a consequence of the following statement:

$L_f \otimes \mathcal{L}$ and $u_{if!} u_{if}^*(L_f \otimes \mathcal{L})$ have the same image under $(u_{gp} u_{fg})_!$.

An equivalent statement is:

(b) if u' denotes the inclusion of $X_f - X_i$ into X_f (as an open subset), then $(u_{gp}u_{fg}u')_! u'^*(L_f \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$.

(We use the distinguished triangle associated with the partition $X_f = X_i \cup (X_f - X_i)$.) We now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_b \arrow[r, "u_{bc}"] \arrow[d, "u_b"'] & X_c \arrow[d, "u_c"'] & X_f \arrow[l, "u_{fc}"'] \arrow[d, "u_f"'] & X_f - X_i \arrow[l, "u'"'] \arrow[d, "u''"'] \\
Y_b \arrow[r, "w_{bc}"] \arrow[d, "v'"'] & Y_c & Y_f \arrow[l, "w_{fc}"'] \arrow[d, "w''"'] & Y_f - X_l \arrow[l, "w'"'] \\
X_a & & X_p &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the notation is as follows.

$Y_b = (K/U_K) \times \mathfrak{l}_\zeta$, $Y_c = K \times_{P_K} \mathfrak{l}_\zeta$, $Y_f = K \times_{P_K} (\mathfrak{l}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}})$.

$u_b, u_c, u_f, w_{bc}, w_{fc}, w', v'$ are the obvious maps, w'' is $(g, x, x') \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}(g)x'$.

Let $M_b = v'^* L_a \in \mathcal{D}(Y_b)$; this is a L_K-equivariant semisimple complex hence there is a well defined semisimple complex $M_c \in \mathcal{D}(Y_c)$ such that $w_{bc}^* M_c = M_b$. Let $M_f = w_{fc}^* M_c \in \mathcal{D}(Y_f)$. It is clear that $u_b^* M_b = L_b$, $u_c^* M_c = L_c$, $u_f^* M_f = L_f$ (note that u_b, u_c, u_f are vector bundles). Hence we have $u'^* L_f = u'^* u_f^* M_f = u''^* w'^* M_f$. The statement (b) can now be rewritten in terms of M_f instead of L_f:

$(u_{gp}u_{fg}u')_!(u''^* w'^* M_f \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$

or equivalently (using $u_{gp}u_{fg}u' = w''w'u''$):

$(w''w'u'')_!(u''^* w'^* M_f \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$.

This would be a consequence of the following statement:

$u''_!(u''^* w'^* M_f \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$.

We have $u''_!(u''^* w'^* M_f \otimes \mathcal{L}) = w'^* M_f \otimes (u''_! \mathcal{L})$, hence it suffices to prove that $u''_! \mathcal{L} = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(Y_f - X_l)$.

Let us fix a point $(g, x, x') \in Y_f - X_l$ and let Γ be the fibre of w' over this point. Let $\tilde{\kappa} : \Gamma \to \mathbf{k}$ be the function $(g, x, x') \mapsto \kappa(x, x')$. By base change, it is enough to prove that the cohomology with compact support of Γ with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{\kappa}}|_\Gamma$ is zero. By a known property of Artin-Schreier local systems, it is enough to verify the following statement: one can identify Γ with $\mathbf{k}^N$ for some N so that $\tilde{\kappa}$ is given by a non-constant affine linear form on $\mathbf{k}^N$. We can find a ϑ-stable Levi subgroup $\tilde{L}$ of P. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}$ be the Lie algebra of $\tilde{L}$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_\zeta = \tilde{\mathfrak{l}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$. We have $\mathfrak{p}_\zeta = \tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_\zeta \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\zeta$. We can identify $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta = \tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_\zeta$ in an obvious way; hence we can view x as an element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_\zeta$. We have an isomorphism $\mathfrak{n}_\zeta \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma$ given by $z \mapsto (g, x + z, x')$. The function $\tilde{\kappa}$ on Γ can be identified with the function $z \mapsto \kappa(x + z, x') = \kappa(z, x') + \kappa(x, x')$ on $\mathfrak{n}_\zeta$. It is enough to show that the linear function $z \mapsto \kappa(z, x')$ is not identically zero. Assume that it is identically zero that is $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}_\zeta, x') = 0$. If $\zeta' \neq \zeta$ we have $\kappa(\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta'}, \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}) = 0$. Hence $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}_{\zeta'}, x') = 0$. We see that $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}, x') = 0$ hence $x' \in \mathfrak{p}'$ and $x' \in \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}$. This contradicts $x' \in \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}} - \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}$. The theorem is proved.

A.3. In the setup of A.1 let us assume that A is an orbital complex (on $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$). We show:

(a) $\tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A)$ *is a direct sum of finitely many orbital complexes (with shifts) on* $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$.

Let A', p_3 be as in A.1. In our case A' can be viewed as a mixed complex, pure of weight 0 (up to shift); hence by the decomposition theorem, $p_{3!}A'$ is a direct sum of shifts of simple perverse sheaves. It is then enough to show that $S := \mathrm{supp}(p_{3!}A)$ is contained in the union of finitely many K-orbits on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$. Since the intersection of any G-orbit on $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ is a union of finitely many K-orbits, it is enough to show that S is contained in the union of finitely many G-orbits on $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $S_0 = \mathrm{supp}(A) \subset \mathfrak{l}_\zeta$. From the definitions, S is contained in the union of K-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ that meet $\pi_\zeta^{-1}(S_0)$. Hence S is contained in the union of G-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$ that meet $\pi^{-1}(S_0)$. Hence it is enough to show that $\pi^{-1}(S_0)$ is contained in a finite union of G-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$. It is also enough to show that the set $\mathcal{S}$ of semisimple parts of the various elements in $\pi^{-1}(S_0)$ is contained in a single G-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$. Now the set $\mathcal{S}_0$ of semisimple parts of the various elements in S_0 is contained in a single L_K-orbit in $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$ hence in a single L-orbit in $\mathcal{O}_0$ in $\mathfrak{l}$. Since $\mathcal{S} \subset \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ it is enough to note that the set of semisimple elements in $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ is a single P-orbit on $\mathfrak{p}$. This completes the proof of (a).

Next we assume, in the setup of A.1, that A is an antiorbital complex (on $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$). We show:

(b) $\tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A)$ *is a direct sum of finitely many antiorbital complexes (with shifts) on* $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$.

By assumption we have $A = \mathfrak{F}(A_1)$ where A_1 is an orbital complex on $\mathfrak{l}_{\zeta^{-1}}$. Using A.2 with A replaced by A_1 and ζ by ζ^{-1} we see that

$\tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A) = \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}}(A_1))$.

Using (a) with A replaced by A_1 and ζ by ζ^{-1} we see that

$\tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}}(A_1) \cong \oplus_i P_i[d_i]$

where P_i are orbital complexes on $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ and $d_i \in \mathbf{Z}$. It follows that

$\tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A) \cong \oplus_i \mathfrak{F}(P_i)[d'_i]$

where $d'_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and (b) is proved.

Finally we assume, in the setup of A.1, that A is a biorbital complex (on $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$). We show:

(c) $\tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A)$ *is a direct sum of finitely many biorbital complexes (with shifts) on* $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$.

Using (a) we see that $\tilde{\mathrm{Ind}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(A) \cong \oplus_i P_i[d_i]$ where P_i are orbital complexes on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ and $d_i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Using (b) we see that $\oplus_i P_i[d_i] \cong \oplus_j Q_j[e_j]$ where Q_j are antiorbital complexes on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ and $e_j \in \mathbf{Z}$. It follows that the isomorphism classes in $\{P_i\}$ coincide with the isomorphism classes in $\{Q_j\}$. Hence each P_i is biorbital. This proves (c).

A.4. Let $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}^*$. For $B \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_\zeta)$ we define (in the setup of A.1) $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(B) =$

$\pi_{\zeta!}(B|_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}_\zeta)$, $\tilde{\mathrm{Res}}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}(B) = \mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}(B)[\dim \mathfrak{n}_\zeta - \dim \mathfrak{n}_1]$.

Proposition A.5. *We have* $\mathfrak{F}(\tilde{\mathrm{Res}}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}(B)) \cong \tilde{\mathrm{Res}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}}(\mathfrak{F}(B))$ *in* $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}_{\zeta^{-1}})$.

The proof is exactly the same as that in [L5, 10.4] if we use Lemma A.6 below instead of [L5, 10.3] and we replace $\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{l}_n, \mathfrak{n}_n, \mathfrak{g}_{-n}, \mathfrak{p}_{-n}, \mathfrak{l}_{-n}, \mathfrak{n}_{-n}, \mathfrak{n}_0, \tau, \mathcal{L}_\tau$ by $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta, \mathfrak{p}_\zeta, \mathfrak{l}_\zeta, \mathfrak{n}_\zeta, \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}, \mathfrak{l}_{\zeta^{-1}}, \mathfrak{n}_{\zeta^{-1}}, \mathfrak{n}_1, \kappa_\zeta, \mathcal{L}^{\kappa_\zeta}$.

Lemma A.6. *Consider the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}) - (\mathfrak{p}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\chi'"'] & \mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}} \arrow[d, "\chi"'] \\
(\mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{l}_{\zeta^{-1}}) - (\mathfrak{p}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{l}_{\zeta^{-1}}) \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{l}_{\zeta^{-1}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal maps are the obvious inclusions, χ is the obvious projection and χ' makes the diagram commutative. We have $\chi'_!(\mathcal{L}^{\kappa_\zeta}) = 0$. (Here $\mathcal{L}^{\kappa_\zeta}$ is the local system on $(\mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}) - (\mathfrak{p}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}})$ defined by restricting the analogous local system on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}$, see 0.3.)

We choose a ϑ-stable Levi subgroup $\tilde{L}$ of P. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}$ be the Lie algebra of $\tilde{L}$. We have $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}} = \oplus_{\zeta' \in \mathbf{k}^*} \tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_{\zeta'}$ where $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_{\zeta'} = \tilde{\mathfrak{l}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta'}$. We identify $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}$ with $\mathfrak{l}$ via the obvious map $\mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{l}$. Let $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_\zeta \times \mathfrak{l}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ be such that $x \notin \mathfrak{p}_\zeta$. We have
(a) $\chi'^{-1}(x, y) = \{(x, y + z); z \in \mathfrak{n}_{\zeta^{-1}}\}$.
It is enough to show that the cohomology with compact support of the variety (a) with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}^{\kappa_\zeta}$ is zero. We identify this variety with $\mathfrak{n}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ via the coordinate z. The restriction of κ_ζ to this variety is of the form $z \mapsto \kappa(x, z) + c$ where c is a constant. It suffices to show that the linear function $z \mapsto \kappa(x, z)$ on $\mathfrak{n}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ is not identically zero. If it were identically zero, then $\kappa(x, \mathfrak{n}) = 0$ hence $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{p}_\zeta$ contradicting our assumptions. The lemma is proved.

A.7. Assume now that B is an orbital complex (on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$). We show:

(a) *any composition factor of any perverse cohomology sheaf of* $\tilde{\mathrm{Res}}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}(B)$ *is an orbital complex on* $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$*.*

Since each perverse cohomology sheaf of $D := \tilde{\mathrm{Res}}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}(B)$ is L_K-equivariant, it is enough to show that $\mathrm{supp}(D)$ is contained in the union of finitely many L_K-orbits on $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$. Since the intersection of any L-orbit on $\mathfrak{l}$ with $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$ is a union of finitely many L_K-orbits, it is enough to show that $\mathrm{supp}(D)$ is contained in the union of finitely many L-orbits in $\mathfrak{l}$. Clearly, $\mathrm{supp}(D)$ is contained in $\pi(\mathrm{supp}(B) \cap \mathfrak{p})$ hence it is enough to show that $\pi(\mathrm{supp}(B) \cap \mathfrak{p})$ is contained in the union of finitely many L-orbits in $\mathfrak{l}$. Since $\mathrm{supp}(B)$ is the union of finitely many K-orbits, it is contained in the union of finitely many G-orbits on $\mathfrak{g}$, and it is enough to note that for any G-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, the set $\pi(\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ is the union of finitely many L-orbits in $\mathfrak{l}$. This completes the proof of (a).

Next we assume that B is an antiorbital complex (on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$). We show:

(b) *any composition factor of any perverse cohomology sheaf of* $\tilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(B)$ *is an antiorbital complex on* $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$.

Let R be a composition factor of a perverse cohomology sheaf of $\tilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(B)$. By assumption we have $B = \mathfrak{F}(B_1)$ where B_1 is an orbital complex on $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}$. Using A.5 with B replaced by B_1 and ζ by ζ^{-1} we see that $\tilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(B) = \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}}(B_1))$. Let R_1 be a simple perverse sheaf on $\mathfrak{l}_{\zeta^{-1}}$ such that $R = \mathfrak{F}(R_1)$. Then R_1 is a composition factor of a perverse cohomology sheaf of $\tilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\zeta^{-1}}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta^{-1}}}(B_1)$. Using (a) with B replaced by B_1 and ζ by ζ^{-1} we see that R_1 is orbital. Hence R is antiorbital and (b) is proved.

Finally we assume that B is a biorbital complex (on $\mathfrak{g}_\zeta$). Combining (a),(b) we obtain:

(c) *any composition factor of any perverse cohomology sheaf of* $\tilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{\mathfrak{p}_\zeta}^{\mathfrak{g}_\zeta}(B)$ *is a biorbital complex on* $\mathfrak{l}_\zeta$.

REFERENCES

[D] P.Deligne, *Cohomologie étale (SGA $4\frac{1}{2}$)*, Lect.Notes in Math., vol. 569, Springer Verlag, 1977.

[H] A.Henderson, *Fourier transform, parabolic induction, and nilpotent orbits*, Transfor. Groups **6** (2001), 353-370.

[L1] G.Lusztig, *Fourier transform on semisimple Lie algebras over* $\mathbf{F}_q$, Algebraic Groups Utrecht 1986, Lect.Notes in Math., vol. 1271, Springer Verlag, 1987, pp. 177-188.

[L2] G.Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves and quantized enveloping algebras*, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 365-421.

[L3] G.Lusztig, *Affine quivers and canonical bases*, Publ. Math. IHES **76** (1992), 111-163.

[L4] G.Lusztig, *From groups to symmetric spaces*, arXiv:0908.4414.

[L5] G.Lusztig, *Study of perverse sheaves arising from graded Lie algebras*, Adv.Math. **112** (1995), 147-217.

[V] E.B.Vinberg, *The Weyl group of a graded Lie algebra*, Izvestiya Akad.Nauk SSSR **40** (1976), 488-526.

[W] A.Weil, *On some exponential sums*, Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. **34** (1948), 204-207.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M.I.T., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139